

**COVID 19
JOURNAL**

I am beginning this little journal on the date of *May 5, 2020*, immediately following my being forced to leave my home in the middle of the night; I must admit, my mind is horribly jumbled; I can only wonder what others are going through right now

I was semi-prepared, so that I was only partially taken by surprise, but, was caught without food or water due to the suddenness of this

“event 201 lockdown order”

the immediacy of the matter first came to my attention at about 1 a.m., when I was advised that the county had just completed a meeting in the middle of the night during which they had decided to lock down the entire county, including plans for closing the county lines so as to restrict all travel into and out of the county

I quickly got on my computer and looked up the order, to see, sure enough, they were planning on restricting all travel, beginning within several hours

if I did not want to be stuck in the middle of a city as “contact tracers” went from door to door terrorizing people, EXACTLY as they had been doing in wuhan, china, during the past 5 months, then I had to leave town immediately, in the middle of the night

so, I quickly packed minimal belongings, and jumped in the car to head to another, more remote, location

I drove for 200 miles, with literally no one on the road, except an incredible amount of police vehicles which seemed to be everywhere

everyone had their flashing lights on as they were flying up and down both sides of the freeway, as they closed off all major offramps, just as quickly as they were able

all of this happened sneakily, in the middle of the night, without discussion with the taxpaying citizens for whom these persons are supposed to be working, nor with any kind of notice or public hearings, and all without any warning of any kind, whatsoever

after all, *the real science seemed pretty clear that such draconian and patently unconstitutional measures were both unnecessary, and even likely to be counterproductive*

**Fauci said that shutting down the country does not work
(January 24, 2020)**

World Health Organization Report discusses NPIs and why quarantine is ineffective (2019)

WHO acknowledges social-distancing did not stop or dramatically reduce transmission during the 1918 influenza pandemic (2006)

A study in the *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* regarding the 1918 influenza pandemic in Canada also concluded quarantines do not work (2003)

Popular author and Tulane adjunct professor

John M. Barry, a strong opponent of the Great Barrington Declaration, argued that quarantines do not work in the case of the Spanish Flu (2009)

Seton Hall's Center for Global Health Studies Director

says, travel restrictions did not delay the transmission of SARS (2009)

A study from Wake Forest University encounters 'self-protection fatigue' in simulated epidemic (2013)

In *Biosecurity and Bioterrorism* journal, Johns Hopkins epidemiologists reject quarantines outright (2006)

In a top journal, *American Journal of Epidemiology*, authors explain the conditions when quarantine would be effective, which do not align with the characteristics of Covid-19 (2006)

In the *Epidemiology* Journal, Harvard and Yale professors Marc Lipsitch and Ted Cohen say delaying infection can leave the elderly worse off (2008)

A team of Johns Hopkins scholars say quarantines don't work but are pursued for political reasons (September 2019)

(with special thanks and acknowledgement to *Amelia Janaskie via The American Institute For Economic Research; from zerohedge.com on date of 1-13-21*)

it seems to me that any logical analysis of these given facts forces one to have to consider why it is that ***there are what seem to be numerous indications that all of this was pre-planned, and that with a high degree of stealthy sophisticated communication and coordination, and that, with lots of finely tuned prepackaged intentional obfuscation***

the numerous, completely contrary claims, ***continuously*** coming from several ***pretended authorities***, can't help but remind one of ***"information warfare" tactics*** commonly used by the communist chinese party (***ccp***) as one of the three prongs of their ***"three warfares" strategy***, as described in their doctrines of ***"unrestricted warfare"***

(see the book, ***Unrestricted Warfare***, by then-colonel, qiao liang, and then-colonel, wang xiangsui, both having, not coincidentally, since that time, been promoted to the rank of general, published in ***2015***)

unrestricted warfare is also known as a form of ***asymmetrical warfare***, as is used by small groups against larger and more powerful groups

guerilla warfare being a particularly well known and commonly understood example of this type of warfare

the **3 prongs** of unrestricted warfare
(also known as unconventional or asymmetric warfare)
are commonly said to consist of:

1. *information warfare*

bombard the targeted audience with **lots of** often blatant, and often contradictory, **propaganda, specifically and artfully crafted, and particularly designed** to promote and cause information overload, with cognitive dissonance, and thereby leading to an inability to rapidly and efficiently sort, process, and distinguish, between what is often **useful information**, which has been purposely and hopelessly mixed with other **critical information**, which is then **mixed together with** often **useless information, misinformation, disinformation and pretended data** so as to cause one to **be unable to act timely and decisively** when required to for one's own survival
(like the deer in the headlights)

think "**learned helplessness**", **like the dog** who no longer barks, bites, and growls, when jolted with electricity, but who, now, instead, just lays and shivers, when repeatedly jolted over and over, again and again

2. *cyber warfare*

hacking, malware, viruses, worms, intrusions, spoofing, and identity thefts,
for example

and

3. *legal warfare*

tie up courts to create uncertainty, confusion, and controversy regarding law and legal doctrines, as is being done at high speed this very moment, as I am editing this (on date of february 1, 2021)

the three prongs are used to “soften up an enemy” right before engaging in kinetic action i.e. boots on the ground

it is a form of ccp hitlerian “blitzkrieg” (lightning warfare)

all three prongs are proceeding forward at high speed and with increasing intensity, right now

hong kong has already been attacked and captured, as has wuhan, the second largest city in communist china, so far as that goes, while taiwan is being threatened on a daily basis with attack, in addition to all of the flaks and fellow travelers, and useful idiots, loose all over these united states, promoting disunity, and patently communist causes

take note, just like 9/11, the huge, very complex, multi-agency, multi-layered, and multi-faceted plan was already in place prior to the triggering event, itself, while numerous persons, all around the planet, had been prepped and were *ready to take on their roles as purveyors of mass hysteria* (in what can only be accurately described as widespread and concerted promotion of a mental illness well known in the psychology literature as “munchausen syndrome”; aka “playing sick”; look it up and see if I am not right)

they having already practiced and learned their roles as crisis actors, via the *numerous covid 19 “simulations”*, and training seminars/”simulations”, and heavily advertised television productions, and much advertised hollywood movie productions in your neighborhood theatre, during the years and months leading up to this *covidiotic* series of events which continues to transpire, nearly unchallenged, even as I write this (see *event 201*, as but one example, with *crimson contagion*, as another)

it really does look more and more like a *national nihilistic suicide* as time continues onward

not by the citizens, but, rather by those who profess themselves to be “the elite”, by which they mean “elitist”, which looks to me to be an awful lot like nihilism completely and fatally run amuck

from “where I sit”, it is my opinion, based upon all available information, that this covid 19 monstrosity has communist chinese party (ccp) bioweapons attack written all over it

those who are knowledgeable about chemical and biological warfare could see it right away

(note: covid is common cold/flu virus; covid 19 is same, except, with “gain of function” added by gene splicing)

SARS I, all over again, I thought to myself, very correctly, as it turns out, given that covid 19 is SARS II

“Event 201”, a widely publicized, and widely promoted covid 19 pandemic simulation, took place on 10-18-19

six weeks later, on december 31, 2019, taiwan confirmed what had been suspected for weeks prior, human to human transmission

to put this matter in perspective, take special note of the doctor who first tried to warn the world, and then, along with others, was “disappeared”, and then pronounced dead of covid, shortly thereafter, ophthalmologist dr. li wenliang (known in the press as dr. li), whose early reports were broadcast across the internet during january of 2020, with dr. li reportedly having succumbed to covid on January 31, 2020

that is to say, barely one month following the widely publicized “event 201 covid pandemic” simulations, everything “went live”, with taiwan and dr. li trying to warn the world, while our country was consumed with a sham impeachment, as members of congress were reportedly regularly briefed, as this disease was allowed to spread far and wide, long before any of the would-be victims had the ability to figure out, what, exactly, just happened

it is hard to deny that this *so-called* covid 19 pandemic, very much appears to be, in fact, and in actuality, a ***“plandemic”***, as it turns out, in addition to being an overt ccp attack upon the whole entire world

while proponents of all of these various conflicting claims and prescriptions pretend to be “science driven” and “fact driven”, such is actually not the case, at all, as can so easily and readily be seen when they regularly “move the goalpost”, or even tell the exact opposite of what they have been saying for weeks, or even months, without even so much as an attempt to explain how this could possibly be “fact-based” and “science-based”

that is because this entire pretended scientific foundation upon which this is all said to rest, is, in fact, a charade, *all based on pseudoscience*, the bane of the day to day trial courts

the “lie detector” test/polygraph being one of the prime examples of such things; they are not allowed in most courts, throughout the nation, due to their unreliability, and the subjectivity which is required to both record and interpret them

i.e. they are not real science, but, more likely than not, you didn't know that, as you have been taught that they are highly reliable = junk science

this junk science, or pseudoscience, has been cultivated and *perfected for decades*, in numerous institutions claiming to be universities, while being, in actual fact, little more than the most recent ideation of the age old problem of “diploma mills”, which are found in abundance all throughout the land, with all of them expecting to be handsomely paid from the national fisc/treasury, no less

I read, in a book about the early history of quantum physics, taking place during the 1920's and 1930's, an oblique reference to all of the physics students who had dropped out during the first one or two years at their local physics program, because they just couldn't keep up with the extremely advanced math which was required

any student of financial history may remember that it was right about that time that the newly founded “science of economics” was born

what is it, exactly, which is supposed to have made what is truly little more than a dark, and arcane, art into a science ?

as it turns out, it was that they are using beginning calculus, for the purpose of pretending that the mathematics of physics, chemistry, and astronomy, somehow has something to do with economy, while noting that no economics writings or textbooks up until that time required more than simple arithmetic to provide explanations, even of the most complex economic arrangements and practices (e.g. dutch east indies company and entire habsburg empire)

calculus was created, and designed, to plot the elliptical orbits of planets, and other moving objects, like cannon balls, for instance, with great precision

because it was so obvious that there was no science actually involved, but, rather, just misappropriated, and misused higher mathematics, the diploma mills came up with the *idea of relabeling*, such that one is now supposed to correctly think only in terms of “*soft sciences*” and “*hard sciences*”, instead of *science and non-science*, there actually being no such real world distinction as between hard science and so-called soft science, one being actual science, while the other is but a pretender; pretending that mathematics is science, itself, instead of being “the language of science”

the main methodologies which were used to propagate this idea of there being “two sciences” coming right out of eddie bernays’ little book, “*Propaganda*”, later conjoined with saul alinsky’s book, “*Rules for Radicals*”

as regards this plandemic, everything was already prepared, ready, and in place, in advance = it all appears to have been done knowingly, and if so, then that with not unlikely (criminal) and patently unconstitutional intent

all things which have been, and are being, claimed by these various pseudo-scientists, are, in fact, based upon, and come as a direct result of, *junk-science*, in contradistinction to real and actual scientific empiricism

because these pretended experts can be so often heard to claim to rely on science and scientific facts, before continuing further, I would like to remind the reader of what real and actual science is, and is not

science, as I will be, herein, using the word, means “a collection of facts”

“a fact” being only that which can be observed, measured, quantified, and must be experimentally repeatable

each fact can be empirically verified when using this, what has come to be known as “the scientific method”

[i.e. can touch, see, smell, measure, and quantify that which is experimentally repeatable and empirically verifiable, like sodium and chlorine combining to form NaCl (sodium chloride, common table salt)]

it is very important to take note, in this regard, that “the hypothesis”, which so often, modernly, begins many an analysis, has no place here

it is not even on the list of what is required in order to be represented as a “fact of science”

an hypothesis simply is not necessary for establishing whether something is or is not a “scientific” fact

the junk science can be spotted from afar, as the most recent proponent can be seen ***throwing around lots of numbers, in order to make themselves look like real scientists (i.e. physicists and chemists)***, who actually have a real world

need for those numbers and mathematical modeling methods, which have been stolen by these pretenders; *many just loving to present, point at, and discuss, lots of charts and graphs, all being done with an air and appearance of great authority*

in order to make this facade appear more credible, they will be sure to wear a lab coat, or other supervisory attire, while carrying around a clipboard, just to be sure

as if having stepped right out of stanley milgram's "*Obedience to Authority*", wherein college students were encouraged to electrocute a subject to death, while said subject was screaming for them to stop; lesson = the students will question whether they should be doing this, until the clipboard and lab coat are seen, at which time, they increase the voltage to fatal levels, quite willingly and willfully

remember, real science (i.e. physics and chemistry) concerns itself only with what is observable, measurable, quantifiable, and experimentally repeatable; it deals only in the world of empirical facts and fact finding; as has already been pointed out, there will nearly always be an inability to experimentally repeat whatever it is that is being claimed by pseudosciences

it being almost too basic to bear repeating, that neither pandemics, nor plandemics, are experimentally repeatable when they take place on a sufficiently large enough scale to be labeled as a pandemic

clearly such matters are NOT analogous to the combining of one gram of sodium with one gram of chlorine gas, thereby causing a measurable explosion, as salt crystal comes spraying out of the cloud of gas in all directions; it being observable, quantifiable, measurable, and experimentally repeatable

this whole covid 19 matter, in its entirety, seems to be little more than a charade; an evil and cruel charade, and that with plenty of monetary \$\$\$ motive, and then some; think BIG pharma, and the drug overlords and big tech

note that *this entire evil charade was planned in advance, WORLDWIDE*

this very fact of *worldwide implementation*, all at once, as if out of nowhere, could certainly be reasonably said to provide indications of widespread and far-reaching planning, ahead of the actual triggering event/s, which, in actuality, could be anything, at any time i.e. for those who see crisis as opportunity

take note that all are using the same script and making all of the same numerous baseless, pseudoscientific (i.e. unprovable) claims, in one big, happy and very loud and self-insistent echo chamber

all of it easily seen to be originating from a very small group of persons who have decided that they should be the rulers of the entire earth, calling themselves the self-proclaimed *world economic forum*, the ones who brought you the little ice age, and global warming, and now, climate change, all based upon pretended “reading” of ice cores, pond muck, rock stratae, and such like, being far more akin to reading tea leaves at the bottom of a tea cup, than to anything like sodium and chlorine combining to create table salt

once again, is it observable, measurable, quantifiable, and experimentally repeatable? if, not, as is the case before us, then it simply is not a matter which is properly the subject of scientific empiricism (i.e. it is non-provable)

Speaker of the U.S. house of representatives, nancy pelosi, desperately raced to get the (sham) impeachment proceedings completed by Christmas in 2019, with no reason ever having been given to explain this urgency, and then, quite mysteriously, and, again, with no real reason given, she failed to deliver the articles of impeachment until january 15 of 2020

maybe not coincidentally, *the very selfsame day that first infected chinese person arrived in washington state, fresh off the “wuhan express”*

the one who infected an entire nursing home, which first drew attention to the fact that there was something terribly wrong taking place, here, like had just been recently reported in wuhan

remember, the taiwan report of human to human transmission was made on december 31, 2019, while dr. li’s reports took place in mid-january of 2020, while the first infected patient landed on january 15

so, *looking back, now, with benefit of hindsight, what exactly was taking place* in the world of covid 19 aka SARS II, *between Christmas of 2019 and january 15, 2020, which could even possibly explain* such strange actions ?

answer: wuhan lockdown, and then subsequent release, which allowed that first patient to get inside our country, along with 450,000 others, and even more intended, you may rest assured i.e. think hordes of “migrants” unlawfully crossing our borders, while bringing in even more disease, along with the other 4.5 million escapees from wuhan not far behind, if at all possible

but, before continuing, allow me to briefly review and summarize the facts we have so far examined, so that we may use them as context for what is about to follow

the ***first information*** regarding covid 19, that can be attributed with any degree of certainty, was a ***report*** that came ***from taiwan***, which warned of human to human transmission, on ***december 31, 2019***, while dr. li warned at about the same time, as the ***wuhan lockdown of 11 million persons was also taking place during this very same period of time***

so that from ***january 1, 2020, it was known, of a certainty***, that there was a serious problem taking place, which could easily and quickly escalate into something large-scale and universally catastrophic

it was repeatedly reported that the congress had been regularly briefed regarding the outbreaks, yet they shamefully thought that having a faux impeachment and debating class was more important than what was coming our way at full speed

the ***w.h.o*** (world health organization), ***and the ccp, both played it all down*** as being nothing to get worried about

as ***ccp intentionally, and with a great deal of effort, released the 5,000,000, presumably, badly infected persons, from wuhan, into the populations of USA, and europe***, and other places, while ***NONE WERE ALLOWED INTO CHINA !!*** with all of this taking place while ***ccp simultaneously and systematically purchased all of the personal protective equipment (ppe) and other associated supplies, worldwide, such as antiseptics, and face masks, in order to deny it to those who were just about to be needing it, thanks to purposeful actions taken by this very same ccp***

after having now been watching this entire “one-worlder” dystopian nightmarish apparent attempted takeover of my country for 8 months now, I have been asking myself the very same questions, over and over again, which I continue to ask right now

where was our congress ?

where are they now ? MIA

where were our 50 state legislatures and 50 governors ?

where are they now ? MIA

where were our courts ?

where are they now ? MIA

*where was the DOJ and the FBI, and DHS,
and State Department ?*

where are they now ? MIA

ALL COMPLETELY FAILED !!

or are complicit or just looking the other way

all quite conspicuously, and unacceptably

MIA (missing in action)

*proving, rather unequivocally, that it is a complete waste of
time and energy to try to “drain the swamp”*

= *lesson learned*

= *don't even try to drain the swamp*

instead, DEFUND THE SWAMP !! now, already

one of my more worrisome contentions is that there is more to come, and that what has happened here is nothing less than a very purposeful preliminary (practice) bioweapons attack by the ccp, on the USA, and europe, and allies, with more, and worse, to come, if certain ccp (unrestricted warfare) generals, and others, continue to get to have their way

meaning that whether the virus came out of a bat cave, or a lab, or a wet market, are of only limited usefulness, being little more than distractions, and really are irrelevant, because this bioweapons attack was modeled upon primitive medieval germ warfare types of attacks, relying upon purposeful, person to person transmission

remember, the bubonic plague killed one-half of the european population, which is believed, by many students of ancient warfare, to have been brought in by crusader enemies, as vengeance for depredations of the crusaders, said to be wreaking havoc on the middle east

ccp has done something very similar, here, while “playing stupid”, the entire time

so, in order to provide some perspective regarding the matter of whether this was a medieval type of germ warfare attack, or a primitive bioweapons attack, one must look at what is known regarding the background facts

these matters of a plague spreading into wuhan, and from there around the world, first came to my attention in a rather unusual and unexpected way, such that I watched all of the events I am about to describe, in real time, as the facts actually developed on the ground, day by day

I had been regularly watching several alternative news channels, in addition to several citizen journalist reporters in the area, and several communist chinese websites, purportedly for business persons who would like to keep up to speed on what is happening on the ground in beijing and asia

the hong kong demonstrations had attracted much attention from these various persons and outlets and were just beginning to take center stage, such that many eyes were on both beijing and hong kong, when it was reported that people were dropping dead in the streets of wuhan

quite literally dropping to the ground, dead, often with a massive seizure

the video clip of one person falling over and hitting the ground hard was played over and over again, for days on end, on the internet

the 5G opponents were quick to say that they were concerned that we were watching seizures caused by neurologic damage caused by overexposure to microwave radiation

that is the first I heard of it, as several incredible pieces of footage were examined and reexamined repeatedly as many persons in the alternative news world wondered what in the world was going on over there

to provide background perspective, presidential advisor to president trump's 2016 campaign, stephen k. bannon, switched his popular podcast/tv show title from "*the war room - impeachment*"

to "*the war room - pandemic*"

in mid-january, 2020, with most persons making mockery of him for calling this modern plague outbreak a pandemic

as I am just beginning to digest all of this which is going on, next thing I know an ophthalmologist from wuhan, known in the press as dr. li, comes onto the internet in order to try to warn that something really big is going on in wuhan, and is being covered up, instead of being dealt with in a way which is appropriate to the situation (fukushima all over again)

the good doctor is arrested and “disappeared”, along with any number of other medical personnel who tried to warn; that certainly didn’t look good, or add credibility to the continuous barrage of patent lies coming from ccp, w.h.o, and cdc, not to mention nancy pelosi and anthony fauci (the highest paid person in the U.S. government, as it turns out) quite amazingly reassuring that all is well, and that there is nothing to worry about, and no need to take any preventive, or precautionary measures

no need for (self-imposed) travel restrictions, and everybody should come over to the chinese new year’s celebration in san francisco, along with nancy and her several chinese women “friends”, who could be seen standing alongside her in commanding positions, while openly glaring at her with open disdain, as she made these curious pronouncements on live television, at and during the actual celebrations

then news came out about “the bat lady”, the infamous “patient zero”, from whom this *covidiocy* is all thought to have actually originated, and who, as it turns out, had been regularly featured as a celebrity star in chinese media, even rating a full-blown television documentary about her work with the bats, and the viruses, prior to a frightened bat squirting bat feces and urine on her while she handled it

ooooops

and, as they say, “the rest is history”

all of this was being done in an unlawful bioweapons laboratory in wuhan, while being generously financed by the U.S. taxpayer, by means of the n.i.h (national institute of health), under the auspices of ---- you guessed it, the selfsame anthony fauci, highest paid person in the U.S. federal government, while, “coincidentally”, also a major stakeholder and patent holder of the very drugs needed now that this plague has been unleashed upon all of the rest of humankind

*the drugs he has patents for are good;
all others are bad*

your tax dollars at work

unlawful (under various international treaties), and very dangerous “**gain of function**” **experiments** are suddenly right out front and center for all the world to see, fully exposed, while also being fully denied

too bad the american public weren't watching, instead, being fully enchanted by the ridiculous dog and pony show, and high school debating club, and low budget drama class, shamefully pretending to be an impeachment, which charges, in fact, did not deserve, and should not even have been, dignified with a response

next thing I saw was footage coming out of wuhan where they were locking 11,000,000 people up in buildings to let them die, en masse, from this, what was referred to at the time as, “*novel coronavirus*”

no mention ever being made that covid 19 = SARS II

all who lived through SARS I would have immediately known what to do, and how to conduct themselves

instead, “covid, covid, covid”, whatever that is, only several weeks following the *covidiocy* hysteria practice simulation which seems to have been designed for the express purpose of promoting mental illness, to wit: munchausen syndrome, and munchausen syndrome by proxy (look it up)

all eyes were already on wuhan because it had just become one of the first fully 5G cities in the world (high amplitude microwave transmissions)

the 5G system had just recently been turned on, when, suddenly, and as if out of nowhere, all of the people in this second largest city in china were locked into their houses and apartments for many weeks

any number of medical people began to ask whether the 5G microwave radiation could be cooking these persons brains and lungs from the inside out, just like in a microwave oven

this would make it much easier for the viral particles to invade and replicate in the lungs, and would explain why, at the very start, people’s lungs were simply shredding, and tearing to pieces, when they were put on ventilators, the lung tissue seeming to have been fatally weakened in some manner never before seen (except for MERS = middle east respiratory syndrome)

next thing I knew, the stories started coming out of wuhan, as any number of people found ways to communicate with the outside world, as they cried out, loudly, for help, to a pretty much entirely deaf world, entranced with dog and pony shows and high school debate team tryouts, pretending to be congressional hearings, live on television, while being ***completely unaware that their little world was just about to get ripped to shreds violently, and that to it’s very core and foundations***

all --- while you slept ---

the stories were, really, quite chilling

numerous persons reporting the same basic set of facts

that is, everyone was locked into buildings

then one person per family was allowed to go out, either once, or twice, per week, for a short period of time, to shop for food and other essentials

everyone else was kept locked inside

of course, each apartment unit, and then the entire complex of buildings, were quickly filling with the stench of rotting corpses

millions of people were trapped in little apartments, with the mom, dad, kids, and grandkids; one would die; the body would rot

all of this taking place in one child per family homes, the policy which was mandated by ccp, thereby causing each loss to be far more painful and grievous than it may otherwise be

the pestilence would start making everyone in the little apartment get sick and die, as the rotting corpses were beginning to seriously pile up after weeks of this, the stench of death, according to numerous firsthand reports, which I personally saw, was everywhere

after about six weeks, all of a sudden, and as if out of nowhere, the ccp said that all of the residents of wuhan would be allowed to leave, ***provided they went anywhere, except inside china***

5,000,000 people from wuhan acted on that offer and began to spread all across europe, the united states, latin america, and the middle east, as well as numerous other places

450,000 of those 5 million made it into the U.S.A., before president trump ordered a travel ban for persons from wuhan, after reading a memo prepared by peter navarro, one of his economic advisers, which warned of what was happening, and what the likely outcome would be, if travel was not stopped, immediately

the entire mainstream media, all of the democrats, and many of the republicans, said that the president was xenophobic, overboard, paranoid, and downright crazy

president trump was standing ALL ALONE , while trying to warn of what was just about to come our way, while ALL made mockery of him

while ALL made fun of him, and played little impeachment charades games, with debate club, day in and day out for weeks on end, in place of actually legislating, or warning, so that we could begin to make appropriate civil defense preparations

(***where is the civil defense plan*** for all of these massive civilian casualties, anyways ? still none in existence, one full year and 500,000 US deaths/casualties later = makes it really ***hard to take such persons seriously***)

the release of all of these, presumably badly infected people, was timed for maximum effect, by releasing them all just before the chinese new year; as I already mentioned, the one that nancy pelosi went on television and told everyone to come to, in san francisco, because it is perfectly safe to do so, in proud defiance of the warnings coming from our president

the very same outbreak that tony fauci told, at the time, was nothing to get overly concerned about; along with w.h.o (world health organization), and cdc (center for disease control) and ccp

yes, THIS WAS ALL TAKING PLACE AS our entire federal government seems to have been VERY MUCH asleep at the wheel, or complicit, or looking the other way, as the congresspersons were, reportedly, all regularly briefed regarding this plague starting in January of 2020, but did not warn their constituents, and completely and utterly failed to do anything to stop what was about to happen, for three very long months, before even admitting that there was a problem, as the disease continued to spread, with NO ONE even so much as asking, or even, apparently thinking of asking, what became of those 450,000 likely disease spreaders who have now come inside our borders with the express purpose of wreaking havoc ?

NOT ONE SINGLE PERSON, that I am aware of, has asked that question to this very day; wouldn't you think one would want to know where all of the disease carriers ended up going, and see if the disease is actually spreading, and if so, how much, how fast, and, how far ?

nope, instead, things are about to get so interesting that I was forced to leave my home and my hometown because of it, as I was relating at the start of this section

right before the people took off running as fast as they could to get out of wuhan, we were introduced to the concept of “contact tracers” and “superspreaders” and “asymptomatics” and “asymptomatic superspreaders”

yes, the communist chinese were doing contact tracing and declaring undesirables, especially those with low social scores, to be asymptomatic spreaders and superspreaders, for months before any of you ever even heard about covid, thanks to mainstream media blackout of actual news (aka operation mockingbird)

the footage that I saw was just as shocking as when they barred all of the large buildings in wuhan so no one could get in or out

here, were people lined up on the sidewalk

a man dressed in some kind of “hazmat” (hazardous materials) type of outfit was forcing the people to get on their knees, as he shoved a 12 inch long rod up their nose, as deep as it possibly could go, for the claimed purpose of gathering samples

while apparently, none were familiar with, or overly concerned about, the concepts of aseptic technique, as they were viciously poking holes into deep internal membranes, in this case, directly adjacent to the midbrain, in the deepest and most protected part of your skull, while doing this right out in the middle of the street, on a major metropolitan thoroughfare

it was all done with great brutality (just like in their live animal markets and live fish markets aka wet markets)

it looked to me as if the people were some kind of a low beast being kicked around by an angry master

it was a truly chilling sight to see; I honestly could not believe what I was seeing

so, you can only begin to imagine my shock, when, several months later, I find these same practices being proposed by our very own local county “health” departments

ALL OF THE DEPARTMENTS

ALL AT ONCE

local, state, and federal

ALL FROM ONE SINGLE SCRIPT

“there is something very wrong with this picture”, I remember thinking to myself, as I am watching, horrified, and in utter disbelief, at what I am witnessing

that would take a level of planning and execution, right under our noses, that none of us were aware of, as a noose was ever so gently placed around all of our collective necks, by those who claim to be our “elected” representatives (see electronic voting machines and software for why the quotation marks around the word “elected”)

it definitely does not look good for them

so, I begin to do some more sleuthing and some homework

it didn't take me long to figure out that our local "health" departments have become tentacles of the united nations, reaching right into the heart of our local communities in a way which is most definitely inimical to liberty

according to cdc publications, which i personally read, I was informed that all of your civil rights and constitutional rights are extinguished, all at once, by cdc decrees, even though made by some ill-advised declaration, coming from pseudoscientists posing as world authorities regarding their selfsame very own pseudoscience creations

yes, this is what it is all ACTUALLY about

your local county health department is taking marching orders directly from the united nations, from the ccp, and from their very own mouthpiece, the united nations world health organization

bet you didn't know that, I know I sure didn't

I could not help but be reminded of EINSATZGRUPPEN,

the little specially converted white vans and trash trucks and ambulances, which started the actual national socialist holocaust against all of humanity, by coming right into your home, declaring you to be in need of medical care, and then taking you away where you can get *specialized treatment*

--- in a ditch, at the edge of town, as it turned out

the ccp is giving marching orders to the w.h.o and the cdc, who are then passing them on to our electronically “elected” representatives, in place of the wishes of “we the people”, who are supposed to be giving our consent before any course of proposed action is taken

instead, it is all modeled after the “top down” management system already existing in communist china, of using “health” departments to control populations, and political dissidents

all one big happy ccp program, with your local public health departments quite happily and proudly “onboard”, and fully cooperative, as they collect all of your personal health information, including blood types, allergies, drug addictions, and dna samples, and send it to beijing, all paid for by the U.S. taxpayer, via the FEDERAL INCOME TAX and FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

yes, just what I wanted to see, the number one enemy of liberty and americanism having their hands in our most personal and private medical records, doctor/patient privilege thereby becoming nearly nonexistent, if not thereby extinguished, altogether

better yet, they managed to use the covid as an excuse to get everyone onto electronic communications, which are monitored and recorded 24/7 by the ccp; yes, that would be ALL of your medical records, and legal records, and personnel records, etc., etc., just in case they missed *anything* about you

zoomed straight from your little electronic meeting to beijing

So,
what is it that is really going on, here?

in order to answer that question you will have to *look back to the french revolution*, beginning with the *“storming of the bastille”*, in 1789 (the bastille was the main prison in paris)

while our american forefathers were at work writing, disseminating, and arguing about their own new constitution, the people of france were well on their way to taking matters into an entirely different direction

as the founding fathers tended to the ratification process, these newly formed groups of french revolutionaries were just beginning down the road to being “governed” by several different groups of would-be “rulers of the angry mob”

each would-be government lasting only a matter of months before competing interests would simply wipe each newly made “government”, altogether, out of existence

all of the violence and mob rage finally ending with “the great terror” and the beheading of multiple thousands of most recently discovered “enemies of the state”, mostly put to death by guillotine

in what can only be rightly described as ever-increasing descent into madness and utter chaos on a societal-wide scale

finally, and none too soon, where many were concerned, it all came to an abrupt end, with the famous words of *that “little corsican” general, napoleon:*

“let them get a whiff of grapeshot”

the rest is history, as even the great liberator became seduced by power, and succumbed to it, as he became the next king of france, *liberator* having strangely transformed into *imperator*

and there you have it, “*the paradigm*” which will be seen to repeat over and over and over again throughout all 5,000 years of written history

it being this very same process which the *roman republic* had been forced to suffer through 2600 years earlier, under the hated etruscan monarchies for 250 years, and then to be followed by 500 years of a highly successful and highly prosperous republic, which then degenerated into rule by military dictatorship, being the ancient hated monarchy of old, all over again, in all but name, having now come “full circle”

this ancient paradigmatic process was *perfected by octavian*, heir to julius caesar, who declared himself augustus, and the *princeps, the first, among many*

or as the pigs in *Animal Farm* would say,

the one who is “more equal”

now, both the infant united states of america and the french revolutionaries were being confronted with the same problem as the early romans

what does a group of people do in order to form a lawfully constituted government, after they have unceremoniously, and typically, violently, rid themselves of the monarch/warlord ?

how do they manage the huge tracts of french land which were, here, involved ?

it is important to notice, while considering these matters, *that they did not rid themselves of the monarchy, but, rather, just the monarch!!*

this really is KEY! to all which is to follow, from that time up until now

for, it really was just way too easy to be rid of the monarch, while keeping the monarchy intact, the *kingdom* running just fine, *without the king*

just ask louis XVI and marie antoinette, of french revolution fame, about that one !!

that is to say, if you get rid of the monarch, but keep all of the institutions of state in place (whether real or imaginary; for example, think augustus caesar “restoring” “the republic”) then it should not take long to realize that one can *simply get rid of the king*, at will, as if he is the CEO of a large publicly traded corporation, having been removed by his own board of directors

the company/kingdom continues on, just as before, only, without the king

only now, instead of a king, the executive power will be exercised by a committee, in place of the one single individual

and now, patronage, party favorites, and dirty politics become the great determiner and arbiter of what is or is not to be, acting as if they had been “scripted” by frederic bastiat, having stepped right out of his ever-timely little book titled “*The Law*”

think kindergarten

think sandbox fights

and schoolyard bullies

these persons being, in real world terms, nothing more than glorified PTA members (parent teachers association), barely capable of controlling a room full of little kids, and with many, also, entirely unable, it would seem, to control their own baser instincts and desires

yes,

principal

vice principal

teachers

and children

sandbox and playground and schoolyard bullies

that is their actual real world model

notice what a far cry this is from real history-based constitutionalism

so long as the problems needing to be solved are at *kindergarten level*, all will go well

but --- if there is material progress, there will, of necessity, be *increased complexity*, such that *“kindergarten view of the world” cannot work at some point, and that with mathematical certainty, as further complexities are introduced* into the mix, *along with new layers upon layers of further complexities*

as *an example*, take the nuclear disaster at *fukushima in 2011*

this happened because a numerically small group of persons took it upon themselves to make terrible decisions, with horrifying consequences, on the grandest of scales

EVERYONE!! in japan got badly poisoned

everyone on the planet was adversely affected

[we *still do not know how much so*, for any number of reasons; first, an active *plutonium breeder reactor drilled right down deep inside the earth*, never to be seen again, but likely spewing out plutonium into the ocean nonstop; 1 kg of plutonium (2.2 pounds) is said to have enough toxicity to kill every single living thing on the planet, if delivered as individual doses; this is taking place in a huge fishing ground that is now totally badly polluted which happened right after another huge fishing ground was destroyed as a result of the *deepwater horizon explosion* and subsequent cleanup; and, finally, because *it can take 30 years for the radiation effects to manifest* in the form of cancers and tumors and other neoplasms]

the effects were felt by millions and billions of persons, yet, only a small handful of persons were given complete control, oversight, and investigatory power over what turned out to be their own completely egregious behavior

no real oversight

no real consequences to the egregiously guilty parties, until this very day, with all of this having become an all-too-familiar pattern

these very facts, all by themselves, leading, almost inevitably to the question of whether what is holding itself out to be a “government”, which is supposed to be in charge of this disaster, is so, as a matter of actual fact i.e. is it of the people, by the people, and for the people ? or is it just one more usurpation ?

when attempting to determine whether any particular group of persons claiming to be “the government” are actually lawfully constituted as such, one must first examine whether such group has been constituted as a result of an electoral process which produces results which can truly be said to be

of the people/citizens/voters

by the people/citizens/voters

and *for the people*/citizens/voters

or stated another way, *does said group actually reflect the will of the governed ?*

in this regard one is forced to ask *how could or would any self-representative people desire to be negligently “nuked” by massive amounts of radioactivity and then behave in a way* which is so diabolically and patently against their own short term and long term self-interest, by not cleaning up the problem, and remedying it, and seeing to it that such a thing can never happen again and while holding all responsible parties accountable ?

none of which happened at fukushima

yet, we are asked to believe that we voted for this, and desire this, and then are asked to tell the same to others, as if it is true, when in fact it is anything but

clearly something is most certainly wrong with this picture

and there it is, *kindergarten teachers*, and outstanding *PTA members*, *thinking themselves to be, somehow, competent* or qualified to make life and death decisions, with horrendous long term consequences, for everyone else on the planet, while denying to them any meaningful participation in such decision-making processes

= *the kindergarten model having fully come of age, and having come home to roost*

and that ---

with a vengeance !

while I am attending to this immediate matter, allow me to explain, very briefly, as an aside, what I mean by “kindergarten”

I have come to believe that the kindergarten through 6th grade mandatory education model has left an indelible mark on many, if not most persons

the rigid and uncompromising structure that they learn during their first years in the sandbox, at grammar school, while the brain is rapidly developing during some of its most formative years, is impressed upon them while their brains are rapidly building foundational massive neural networks which leave an imprint which is actually “hard wired” (by means of neurogenesis) into the now rapidly developing neuronal networks and other primary foundational circuitry of the brain

for many this will provide a convenient world view which they will use, quite successfully, throughout their entire lives

everything that you should have learned on the schoolyard playground, between kindergarten and sixth grade, now providing the actual lifelong emotional, spiritual, and psychological foundation, framework, and working model for many persons, which will now be used for most problem solving methodologies, throughout their entire life

in this regard, it can be reasonably well argued that this state-mandated, so-called education system, actually and intentionally fosters mediocrity

first, simply because that is the easiest path to take, given most real world situations

because the mediocre persons so far outnumber all of the others, this same system learned and imprinted during those formative years, will continue on unchallenged, and this, while all of those mediocre persons continue to be rewarded, the entire time, by means of government largesse (from the money that has been forcibly confiscated from taxpaying citizens), just for being as mediocre as possible

all the while proclaiming that mediocrity, is indeed, excellence

another form of learned helplessness, just to be sure

this system will work so good for so many utterly mediocre persons, that these same persons will use the kindergarten to sixth grade as their model for the seventh to twelfth grade, and from there, they will carry the exact and very same model onward into their frat boy world of pretended universities, most being little more than very expensive and unnecessary tax supported “diploma mills”

so that, now, this model of behavior, which was first impressed upon all of these persons in kindergarten, has simply continued right on into “university” world and beyond, into the world of business, finance, and governance

this way of thinking and behaving provides an incredibly all-too-convenient hiding place for persons who really have no idea what they are doing, but do know how to make it look like they know what they are doing

it is this mentality and world view, with all of it’s attendant beliefs and philosophies, to which I am here referring, by use of this word “kindergarten”, in this immediate context

and so, now, continuing, the french revolution quickly degenerated into napoleon and his grand armee', who terrorized as many neighbors as he was able, from 1792 to 1815, which system of "governance" then ultimately degenerated further into something which has come to be known as "socialism" and one world socialism, aka one world communism, both having seemingly arisen out of the ashes of the (worldwide) revolution of 1848

the modern form of bolshevik communism, to which most would be referring by using the name "communism", began, formally, with the *first communist international in the year 1919*

note that this *communist movement* has been repeatedly and amply demonstrated (proven) to have been liberally *financed, promoted, and supported by numerous wall street financiers, and investment bankers*, all hoping to profit from all of the chaos caused by the menshevik and bolshevik uprisings, with red and white armies crisscrossing "the land of eleven time zones", in continuous need of war financing, food, shelter, and war materiel, not to mention weapons and war machines

while considering this matter, one should also take especial note that the *income tax and federal reserve were both created in 1913, just in time to finance the war effort during wwI*

the income tax is a type of tax which is known as a "direct" tax

the U.S. Constitution originally provided that there should be no direct taxes

this was all changed between the mid -1800s and the first decades of the 1900s, by the rail barons, robber barons, and industrial barons, by means of the 16th amendment to the U.S. Constitution

the drafters of the United States Constitution considered a direct tax to be so odious and ever-threatening, as to require outlawing such practices

this type of tax having, during previous decades and centuries, provided ample basis for the financing of much war and tyranny, as it had been repeatedly used by the crown, to devastating effect, upon whoever they so chose to wipe out, including their own citizens, too many times to count

by so amending the original constitution, the rail barons, robber barons, and industrial barons created a system by which they could rob the taxpaying citizens blind, without their actual knowledge or consent, and that, without their ever having any meaningful recourse

karl marx, one of the founders of modern communism, listed the graduated income tax as no less than the second plank of his 10 plank communist platform, as outlined in his *Communist Manifesto*

the first plank calls for the abolition of all private property

the second plank is the means by which the communists propose to get rid of private property, the income tax

karl marx published his communist manifesto in the year 1848; the russian revolution took place in 1917 (with an earlier one in 1905); the persons who promulgated the pretended need for an income tax, just prior to 1913, were actually pressing for the very instrument the communists had already carefully and thoughtfully chosen for the express purpose of destroying all private property

does this not seem even a little bit odd to anyone, but me ?

it appearing to me that the only reasonable and logical conclusion to be drawn is that the rail barons, robber barons, and the industrial barons were, at least in principle and spirit, co-authors of the communist 10 plank platform, as well as being potential progenitors, for, here they can be seen to be implementing it, on behalf of the very people who they claim to so despise

that is to say, john d. rockefeller, through his “front man” nelson aldrich, main proponent of this federal income tax system, is found, here, to be desirous of implementing the very same system as was used by the bolsheviks to destroy a 1,000 year old civilization (while having nothing worthwhile to replace it with, incidentally)

now, the income tax would not be nearly as effective for systematically shearing the sheeple of all of their hard earned property, without the *federal reserve system*, which was, not coincidentally, created at the very same time as the 16th amendment was passed into law, and modeled after the bank of england, the queen’s bank

(also, the same year the HMS Titanic sank to the bottom of the north atlantic, while killing several of the leading opponents to implementation of an income tax, and thereby paving the way for successful amending of the U.S. Constitution, weirdly enough)

the very name, itself, federal reserve system, appears to be intentionally deceitful and disingenuous

first, what is federal about this so-called “reserve system” ?

nothing, whatsoever, it being, in fact, a privately owned banking cartel, with much rumored large percentage foreign ownership

it's intended purpose never has been and never will be to look out for the "little guy"; au contraire

rather, it is intended to protect the banks from their own reckless "banking" practices, so that they may continue behaving in a most reckless manner, and never having to pay the ultimate price that Mr. Market has, and sooner or later, will, ultimately dictate to them, and that, with arithmetic precision

the reserve part is "make believe talk" for acting as a monetary "reservoir" to be used as a "lender of last resort"

something j.p. morgan cooked up and perfected during *the panic of 1908*, which has become the ever-repeating model for how to manufacture, cause, and capitalize upon financial crisis, as has been done whenever so desired, from that time even until now, and up until this very moment

these very same people who have made and caused all of the problems over and over again will always hold themselves out to be the solution to these very problems which they have created, just as they did with the 2007/2008 economic meltdown, as but one recent example

their claim to justify this patently nonsensical belief system is that they have "expertise," which of course, is utter nonsense, they having no more actual knowledge or knowhow than any other person randomly chosen off of any major city streets, no matter what their little pieces of paper from the diploma mill pretend to have to say about such matters, and again, forgetting that it is they who made the problems in the first place, with all of that "expertise", which has come to be so well known in the larger world all about them as "when genius failed" (see the book by that title)

as to the word “system”, in the title “federal reserve system”, it most certainly is a system, with the pretended banking function really providing cover for continuous and constant institutionalized currency debasement, with all fruits of labor being redirected to others than those who did the actual work and earned the actual savings, which is so cavalierly and systematically being stolen, with the apparent blessing of all who call themselves “the government”

so that now, from 1913 forward, this combination of a private banking cartel, using U.S. military might, backed up by economic power of numerous taxpaying citizens, by means of a confiscatory direct tax upon their income, will be used to project power and pretend to be in the business of “opening markets” (forcibly) all over the world, as a pretence for continuous warfare and intermeddling with the internal affairs of many countries, all in the name of making the world “safe for democracy”, while noting that no such “democracy” does even exist, and never has, and never will, while also being a pretended *democracy* where the citizens are forced to give up their hard earned income at gunpoint

a graduated income tax, right out of marx’s ten plank platform, which will cause the post-civil war, newly founded “*1865 version*” of the united states, to turn into the imperial superpower which it was soon enough showing itself to be in 1898, as this small cabal of industrial robber barons, heirs to the rail barons, decided that the entire world was theirs for the taking

a worldwide “monarchy without the monarch”, all over again

a superpower running entirely on the pretended credit of future hoped-for wealthy taxpayers, which “superpower” is, in reality, running only on *promises to pay*, from people who are unable and unwilling to make good on all of those promises

each and every generation working harder and harder to “roll over” all of that debt so that it can be dumped onto the coming generations, in a sort of ultra-narcissistic wild spending orgy

any reasonable person would guess that all of this debt needs to be serviced, once and for all, somehow

so, here is the solution which has been dreamed up by all of these frat boyz “wunderkinds”, to whom we pay so much money as to make one really have to wonder

yes, this is what the very “best and brightest” have come up with to solve this problem of overly aggressive debt accumulation: everlasting exponentially increasing debt

this coming from those who are told that they are “born to rule”, and that, while carrying the heavy weight of “the white man’s burden”, no less

***and that is where we are, at this very moment,
as I write***

this which is now having given rise to the spectre of a market which has run completely out of control

the new economic models and too numerous to count algorithms created by these “wunderkind quants” require that the credit must expand exponentially forever, in order for said “economic” system to even just continue to exist, at all, never mind that there are actual fixed quantities in existence, which will most certainly make that an impossibility to perform

economically speaking, we are in a terrible mess, which will cause much pain to repair, and rebuild

all of it brought to you by the very selfsame persons and types of persons who brought you the era of the robber barons, and who have profited greatly and continuously, by means of these tools of property confiscation

using income tax, and other forms of confiscatory taxation, combined with non-stop and very calculated currency debasement, which benefits only a small percent of the population

while simultaneously siphoning off all property, in the name of false morality and false philanthropy and legalized plunder, in order to promote pretended social stability, no less

and this is how and why there are less than 1% of humans claiming title to 99.9% of all property and assets

it is a fleecing machine which works very well, so long as one has plenty of sheeple to shear

yes, getting rid of private property does not mean I get rid of mine

only that you get rid of yours

that is the communist way, after all, as has been so amply demonstrated over and over again, throughout the 20th century

some like to pretend that “socialism”, as modernly understood, is different from the socio/economic system used by the communists, liking to make reference to something which they refer to as “democratic socialism”, a term which seems on it’s very face, to be so utterly oxymoronic, as to be devoid of meaning, like democratic communism or democratic national socialism

when considering this matter of “the state” owning all property, one must remember that in the real world there never is such a thing as actual public property being owned by some ill-defined entity calling itself “the state”

SOMEONE or some group of someones, will ALWAYS be in control, which is equivalent to ownership, of all of that public fisc, and all of those treasury dollars

but, you can rest assured, it will NEVER be you

death, taxes, and this are a few of the only things you can truly count on

which is what makes it “pie in the sky” utopianism, writ larger than life

it is this newly made financial “milking machine”, built in 1913, which is now going to finance all which is to follow, during the next century, including wwI, wwII, the cold war, the korean war, the vietnam war, and the drug wars (actually a war on our 4th and 5th amendments, led by then chief justice rehnquist, and company), and the new deal, and the great society of lbj, and the war on terror, and now the war on the citizens, themselves, using their own remaining earning power and credit against them to unceremoniously wipe them out, ***now that they are no longer thought to be of further use to these would-be world rulers***

take note of the dates that the *first communist international (1919)* formally began its existence, just *six years after the creation of the federal reserve bank*, and the *enactment of the 16th amendment (income tax)*, and only months following the ending *of wwI in 1918*

there is a most definite pattern here forming, or continuing, which can be said to be very worthy of your attention; they having all become *“addicted to war”*, as so many before them had, already; *now finding themselves being in constant need of wars to keep their game going*

(see king john of magna carta fame)

wars need financing

lots of financing

war is good for some

bad for all of the rest

which is to say that after “the great war” the *wwI financing mechanism was still in place*, and *in need* of something to do with all of those HUGE amounts of money and credit, which certain parties had first become used to, and now have come to rely on for their very existence (addicted to war)

immediately following that “*war to end all wars*”, sure enough, the *same people* who promoted american involvement in that war, and who profited greatly from it, can here be found, yet once again, *now making a new “paper tiger” “puppet enemy”, a controlled opposition, called world communism*, from whom they will get quite a bit of mileage during the next 100 years,

and *leading right up to now, with the ccp and the ccp virus*, and state-instituted (mandated) munchausen syndrome, with all critical reasoning faculties having been first suspended, and then entirely abolished, both by law, and in practice

while matters continued to develop in france throughout the 1800s, *those who now claimed to represent the revolutionary americans determined to take a far different path, as their pretended democratic republic simply continuously degenerated, by evil design, into an outright oligopoly/oligarchy*, owned and controlled by several small groups of men known in history as the industrial robber barons

the citizens of the young republic, had, in fact, all too soon discovered that they could give, liberally, to themselves, from the public fisc/treasury, that ancient formula for the destruction of a republic

it took all of two generations, or about 70 years, for the hidden rot to manifest itself in 1860, to utterly destroy the republic which had been bestowed upon them in 1789

the words regarding the question of what form of government had been chosen at the constitutional convention, still ringing out loudly, “ a republic, *if you can keep it* ”, Ben Franklin is said to have responded

the people of 1789 were not able to keep their little republic past 1860, having learned far too well how to give to themselves from the public fisc, to their ultimate and utter ruination, it must be noted

the group of people directly and indirectly responsible for this destruction were first known as “*the rail barons*” and then, later, as “*the robber barons*” and later still as “*the industrial robber barons*”

these self-serving (evil) men who took over the reins of the nascent american republican government and constitution, were beholden to the bankers of london

the bankers of london were directly beholden to the queen of england

the queen never stopped wanting her colonies back, by whatever means necessary, even to this day

the london bankers had come to realize that their monarchical masters would not be able to defeat these united states by means of direct military force, such that they believed that they needed another way to destroy this new and rapidly growing country before it got any bigger and/or more powerful

the *war of 1812* had settled the matter once and for all, where many, if not most, were concerned,

so that, *between 1812 and 1830*, these enemies of liberty (closet royalists and monarchists) plotted with one another until they finally figured out how to --- *take back the american colonies, without the american citizens ever even having realized that this had, indeed, actually happened*

along came the railroads and “*the rail barons*”, such that, now, *it had become time to promote a new national economic model*, based not on savings, thrift, and working hard, but, rather, based on idle speculation in the latest stock ventures, the erie canal stock frenzy and the dutch tulip mania having become the model for all which was soon to follow with the railroad stocks

sell stocks and bonds to build railways, with “company towns” built around all of the railroad stations

artificially create a feverishly high speculative frenzy, as they had already previously perfected during the building of the erie canal (and the dutch tulip mania of the 1600s, also)

and now comes the “trick part ”

this entire gigantic enterprise is all, or most nearly all, directly and indirectly financed by who ?

the london banks

the very same london banks and bankers owned and controlled by the queen of england ?

yes

as it turns out the rails were claimed to be built for the purpose of extending commerce

but, another, *unspoken motive*, was to use said trains for *troop transport*, as well as *movement of war materiel and supplies and modern fully mechanized weaponry*

by 1860, what happens to all of those rails built during the past several decades, mostly financed, either directly or indirectly with london bank money ?

the *southern confederacy, bankrolled by these same london bankers*, secedes from the union, quite unnecessarily, starting the U.S. civil war

now, all of a sudden, and *as if out of nowhere*, these *very same trains*, which were not even able to pay for themselves, previously, have now become *the very hottest commodity, indeed* (someone is *addicted to war* ?)

so, you guessed it, throughout the entire civil war, this wonderful rail system, brought to you by the london bankers and queen of england, caused the deaths of 500,000 brothers, sisters and cousins, and who can even begin to count all of the serious bodily injuries and widespread property damage caused thereby ?

a war which was presided over by a president who had been one of the most outstanding of the railroad lawyers, serving these very selfsame rail barons, before becoming president

but, alas, for our immediate purposes that will be enough to help you to understand what is coming next ---

Mechanized Warfare

yes, what would trains be without their ability to move *killing machines* into position during an attack?

much more devastating than troops, alone, could ever even possibly be

following the U.S. civil war, mechanized death became *the “new normal”* and was **perfected during the crimean war (1853 - 1856), the american civil war (1860 - 1865), the russo-japanese war (1904 - 1905), and the three balkan wars (1912 - 1913)**, which immediately preceded the assassination of archduke ferdinand, giving rise to events which led to the outbreak of wwI, six (long) months later, *“the war to end all wars”*

mechanized death run completely amuck !

now, who would ever have guessed that *what we are dealing with during this immediate “plandemic” comes as a direct result of what is just about to happen during world war I ?*

for *it was here*, that *all of the forces* with which we are currently having to deal with, *actually came into being*, to a large degree

europaen power sharing amongst the numerous monarchies had been based upon the treaty of westphalia (1648) and the closely related *“balance of power doctrine”*, which had been used, in one form or another, *previously, for centuries*, while *finally being memorialized*, in writing, by the signatory nations of the *treaty of vienna (march 25, 1815)*, *immediately* following the napoleonic wars (1802 to 1815)

the underlying (enlightenment) philosophy which had undergirded these ideas had “ruled” european geopolitical thinking for centuries, but was now getting badly pushed to the breaking point, and that with great ferocity, *due to the needs and demands of mechanized warfare, and the industrialized “warfare” state*

demands which have continued ever onward, from that day, until this, thanks to extremely well paid addicts of war, who continuously perpetrate hostilities between neighbors, as these merchants of death will bankroll both opposing groups of persons, to the mutual benefit of two or more cooperative groups of bankster/war addicts

now, in order to better understand *how the events taking place during and following world war I are directly related to this “covid 19 plandemic”*, stop, for just one moment and take a look at who *the main players* were

*three of them
were “cousins”*

*king george V
of england*

*kaiser wilhelm II
of germany*

and

*czar nicholas I
of russia*

during the years immediately preceding the outbreak of hostilities the three of them were, notoriously, *having a spat over dreadnoughts* i.e. which “cousin” can have the largest *battleship*

all three were grandsons of queen victoria

believe it or not, *this is what actually set the stage* for all which was, soon thereafter, to follow

it is crucial to remember, in this regard, that *the actual country started by washington, jefferson, adams, and monroe, which had, heretofore existed, between 1789 and 1860, as the united states of america, in reality, no longer existed*

this is VERY IMPORTANT! to understand

those who speak of one country from then until now do not know their history or are purposely misleading gullible persons (see any comprehensive book on the history of the civil war “reconstruction”)

the country bestowed upon us by our founding fathers ended with the first shots of the U.S. civil war, now celebrated, rather disingenuously, as having “*preserved the union*”

a union which did not exist, then, or now, any more than some pretended democracy now exists as our primary form of “government” (see 5th century athens for what democracy actually is)

it has never existed, since that time, but has, instead, continuously morphed further and further into the european monarchic war machine which had been overthrown just a few years previously

” *the empire strikes back* “, indeed

such that one could even call what has happened since 1864, *augustus caesar redux*, and not be too far off

that is, republic in appearance,
oligarchic “*monarchy without the monarch*”, in actual fact

one could, and that without exaggeration, call it *one continuous and ongoing psy-ops, since 1864*, as the robber barons magically transformed themselves into “philanthropical plunderers” (frederic bastiat’s legal plunder and false philanthropy having both come fully of age)

this took place by means of the newly created (of them, and by them) federal income tax charitable giving and donations rules (for them), the age of tax free foundations and ngo’s (none governmental organizations) having now also come fully of age

and all for who ?

for them?

or for you ?

the queen, with the assistance of the *london bankers* and *the rail barons*, *finished the job* which had *begun* following the war of 1812

in order to further understand that which is to follow it is *important* that any student of history should know who *the “great power players”* of the moment, were, or had been, at the start of world war I

this is because *all* that we are, here, dealing with *today, comes directly from*, and as a direct result of, the *events which I am about to describe*

the *main players* of immediate interest to us, at that time, were:

germany

austria

england

france

russia

the ottoman turks

serbia

and USA,

late in the war

all of which continue to exist, albeit in slightly differing forms, to this very day

germany = monarchy = kaiser wilhelm II

austria = monarchy = charles I
heir to archduke ferdinand

england = monarchy = george V

france = the french 3d republic
a republic, with lots of leftover monarchists

russia = monarchy = czar nicholas I

ottoman turks = a 624 year old theocratic monarchy
= *the modern middle east quagmire*

serbia = the ones who actually provoked the war,
and ended up “last man standing”,
weirdly enough

USA = declared themselves to be “neutrals”
= bankrolled and supplied both sides of the
conflict until 1/2 way through the war,
when they finally intervened during what had
become an interminable stalemate only 6 months
into the fighting, with neither side having been
able to advance across that last 100 yards of
what had become known as “*no man’s land*”

many liberty-minded persons would claim that, in terms of the quest for individual liberty, and self-governance, when taking a broad overview of modern history, one must never forget that *one of the greatest impediments* to individual liberty, and personal autonomy, and hence an huge impediment to economic progress of the common person, were the numerous monarchies, best typified by **the habsburg dynasties**, along with their numerous monarchical and oligarchical would-be imitators

the habsburgs, in particular, had their “tentacles” throughout every one of the places which went to war with one another

the habsburg dynasties having been *undisputed rulers of europe and much of asia, and all of latin america* (by way of the habsburg spanish monarchy), *for centuries*

by the time of cessation of wwI hostilities, *it was they who ended up being the biggest losers* of them all, they apparently having been the most exposed of them all, as in “too big not to fail” (think roman empire divided into the eastern and western roman empires)

how?

why?

it seems that it was because they had become reckless gamblers, who so far overplayed their hand as to cause catastrophic and permanent social, political, economic, and military losses

it is this “evil spawn”, from the breakup of colonial empires, which was hatched during the breakup of the Habsburg empire, which continues to haunt us, over and over again, here and now, and is directly implicated when it comes to this apparent bioweapons attack, coming from, and materially supported by, remaining remnants of Habsburg domination, control, and subjugation, calling themselves by all manner of different names

for what is one world government, really, after all, along with new world order, 4th Reich, and world economic forum, etc, apart from being thinly disguised royalism and neo-royalism ?

a form of “regurgitated” royalism, to be sure

here, supplied *complete with a king’s court, ready-made*, and ready to use at any time

a world court

a one world court

what king would that be ?

a *Habsburg* king, if at all possible,
you may rest assured

as they would far rather kill everyone else on the planet
(see *u.n. agenda 21* and *agenda 30*, and *event 201*)
than to actually and finally admitting that history
continues inexorably forward, with, or without them

they are “yesterday”

“has-beens”

wanna-be’s

their big moment in the spotlight having already come and gone

tried, tested, and found wanting

just so sure this can’t really be happening to them

they are losers!,
through and through!!

that is the real and actual verdict of history

yes, folks,

world war I

world war II

cold war

korean war

9/11 patriot act

the so-called war on terror
(systematic evisceration of the bill of rights)

the war on drugs
(systematic evisceration of the bill of rights)

the great society
(LBJ; we can afford both guns and butter; guns for vietnam war and butter meaning the biggest welfare programs ever seen in the history of the U.S.)

the new deal (aka the raw deal i.e. full blown introduction of communism and socialism into these united states)

and now, *covid 19 permanent plandemic*, brazenly brought to you by the ccp and united nations world health organization, and a very small group of people calling themselves the *world economic forum*

all are coming from the spiritual descendants of the robber barons, all from one and the same source, to wit, attempted restoration of habsburg subjugation, domination, and power, in spirit and form, with or without an actual habsburg on the throne

the habsburg not actually being required, while remembering that fatal discovery made during the french revolution

*= you don't really need the king,
just his kingdom!*

when *post-world war I history is examined through the lens of attempted resuscitation of habsburg dominance*, many, otherwise obscure matters, suddenly become all too clear, and can be seen to be but integral parts and portions of a much larger and more complicated and interwoven piece of a fine tapestry

stretching back many centuries, to the vipers of venice, 500 years ago, and from there all the way back to the ancient 6th century bankers of babylon !

if you think about it for just a few minutes, consider, *who would want to be one world ruler, anyways ?*

how about somebody who was for 500 years before the outbreak of world war I ? and who lost a good deal of their grip on world power and world domination at that time; a day of reckoning

thanks to the reckless gambles they had repeatedly taken with their apparently insatiable lust and thirst and quest for ever more glory and power

overplayed their hand!

went totally broke, where the “house” at the casino is concerned

as they continue to imperiously demand to have yet another shot at one world domination

world war II

= *that was their second shot*

= abject failure

now, they demand yet another shot

this most recent and latest ideation of pretended “do goodism” is gonna protect us from global warming, after saving us from the little ice age (same data), and now, climate change (same data), believe it or not, oh, and don’t forget the immediately imminent destruction of the ozone layer

I am sure an incoming meteorite will be next (friday, april 13, 2029 - Apophis), creating nuclear winter scenarios aplenty for all, with claimed, immediate, urgent need for one world “government” “solutions”, you may rest assured

all lies and nonsense

coming from diploma mills pretending to be institutions of higher learning (a la eddie bernays “*Propaganda*”)

another charade, if ever there was one

the ultimate “sucker’s play”

an entire huge nest of “snakes in suits”

hey, wait just one minute, *all that’s missing, here, is a habsburg to take the throne*

now isn’t that *quite a coincidence* ?

communists and socialists

= *workers party ? or placeholder ?*

the *russian* soviet communists built and maintained a system whereby *99% of the wealth went to 1%* of the people

while there is *supposed to be no private property*, as it turned out,
all property was privately owned and controlled by less than 1% of the people,
just like so many latin american banana republics

= the current president of *chinese communist party* is a *multi-billionaire*

in stark contrast, *common working person* in china

= *less than zero*, after state “guaranteed” food and shelter

think company town, writ large, on the grandest of scales

sure doesn't sound like something that was, or would be, created by workers,
and for workers

not something which is likely to have come from the people, by the people,
and for the people (i.e. the citizens from whom ALL of the power
actually derives)

in stark contrast,
what if it was actually designed as a system
for use by international banksters,
shady investment brokers,
predatory lender/financiers,
and war profiteers,
on the other hand ?

to them ---

it is *the "perfect fleecing machine"*

now, all that is missing is sheep to shear

time to bring in all of those sheeple

open up all of those borders

power to the sheeple !

in brief summary, mechanized warfare, starting with the U.S. civil war, ***caused a dramatic redistribution of power***, that could wax and wane as the economic fortunes and misfortunes of any member nation or groups of allied nations changed from one decade to the next

hence, the ***balance of power doctrine***, as formally instituted and memorialized at the congress of vienna (1815), ***had become permanently institutionally unstable, as one nation or group of nations would discover some form of superior weaponry, causing power relationships to shift rapidly and dramatically***, as different nations were, in fact, making new weapons systems improvements, almost continuously

the ***franco-prussian war*** (1870), with the introduction of the ***modern bolt action rifle***, advancing against a volley of musket shots, is a great example of this process

as is the ***use of the gatling gun*** during the U.S. civil war (1860-1865)

one army so outgunned the other that little else matters, the result being something of a foregone conclusion

between the *U.S. civil war* and the *three balkan wars* (1912-1913), which immediately preceded *world war I*, (“the war to end all wars”, as it was billed at the time) much more experience was gained.

also, during the *crimean war* (1870) and the *russo-japanese war* (1905) even more experience had been gained with mechanized warfare

during much of this time tensions had been building for years between serbia and its neighbors

finally leading to the assassin from the secret military society known as “*the black hand*”, lurking in wait, as archduke ferdinand, habsburg heir to the throne of austria, approached near him on a city street, *as one of those famous “shot heard around the world” events took place*

from there *it took six months* of constant flurrying in diplomatic circles before boots *began to actually move on the ground*

as one set of soldiers moved toward their own borders, in order to protect them from imminent invasion, the adjoining country was forced to respond in kind, to protect their own borders

it didn't take long for the entire matter to take on a life all of it's own

NOBODY was in control

that is the all-important, and far too often forgotten, great lesson of “the great war”, world war I

after six months of fighting, two sets of armies completed building trenches to within 100 yards of one another

and thereby creating what soon became known as
“no man’s land”

it was a stalemate; “the great war” should have ended there and then

but, various persons with various financial interests in continued warfare got to have their way for another 3 1/2 very long and unnecessary years, during which time one-half of the young male population of france, heirs to the french revolution, was systematically fed into a huge, politician-made, meat grinder, as *the revolution did, indeed, “eat it’s own”*, yet once again

lots of national war bonds sold during this time, as your “patriotic duty” a la eddie bernays type of thinking

“keeping America safe for democracy”, no less, as the *first truly enormous pile of debt began to grow exponentially*, nonstop, from that day until this very day

never to be paid off, or even attempted, and that by design, beginning from that very moment, while continuing to this very moment, right here and now

from industrial revolution to covidiotics and covidioicy and munchausen on parade

who would even believe it ?

and ---- yet ---- there it is

now, here's the problem which all of this has caused and created

habsburgs - their empire was lost and smashed into numerous little pieces, following 500 years of undisputed mastery of europe, and many other places = was a global empire; a one world empire; would be so now, if habsburgs could have their way

ottoman turks - their empire lost; over 600 years of continuous rule ended; broken up into pieces which we now know of as "the middle east problem"

france - moved further and further away from napoleonic monarchism, as it turned into the third republic, with lots of monarchical vestiges remaining

germany - kaiser lost everything; monarchy ended, following approximately 1000 years of continuous, uninterrupted, rule

austria - habsburg monarchy ended; will become nazi austria during next 15 to 20 years (anschluss)

hungary - austro/hungary - basically torn in pieces, politically and geo-politically speaking

england and ***USA*** = the victors; now are claimed to have a "special relationship" = queen and her colonies, from which she calls troops, just like before the american revolution

russia - monarchy overthrown following approximately 1000 years of continuous and uninterrupted rule; soviet-style communism is born (1917), ***which will spawn into chinese communism (1949) a la mao tse tung*** = ***source of current CCP VIRUS bioweapons attack and unrestricted bioweapons warfare programs***

serbia - the ones who actually lit the fuse to start the war were the “last man standing” = roman catholics

so, now, after taking the redistribution of balance of power caused by industrial advances and combining that with the complete fracturing of institutions which were centuries old, it can all too readily be seen that ***there will be shifts in power, nearly continuously, until some new and stable point of equilibrium is reached, which has yet to happen***

that is where we are at, right now, at this very moment, in terms of history and historical process

numerous persons, and nation states, all “jockeying” and all jostling for position, each hoping to be the one to, ultimately, come out on top, with hapsburg remnants ever in a commanding lead

and hence, 15 years following the end of “the war to end all wars”, all of that now institutionalized instability began to manifest itself in no uncertain terms

the real, and looking to be permanent, breakup of all of these ancient monarchical empires seems to have greatly accelerated with the failed russian revolution of 1905, which then turned into the successful 1917 revolution, which ended up being co-opted by the bolsheviks, as an arm of certain

wall street bankers of the U.S., using the ancient expedient of extreme violence as their main methodology of instilling terror into any given population (very similar to how the democrat party currently uses blm aka black lives matter, and antifa, right now, during this past summer, seeming to be directly modeled upon mussolini's black shirts, or hitler's brown shirts and SS shock troops, aka "goon squads")

immediately following the soviet communist uprisings, various national reactions began to take place, as first, ***mussolini*** rose to power during the 1920s, followed by his worshipper and imitator, ***adolf hitler***, during the 1930s, and then leading up to the start of world war II in 1939, with the invasion of poland by nazi troops ***openly boasting to be for the avowed purpose of killing as many of the "sub-human" and much-hated slavs as possible***

more ***social darwinism*** was being used to justify naked, brutal aggression

all coming out of pretended institutions of higher learning, yet once again, just like during the russian revolutions of only several years previously

tens of millions of slavs were unceremoniously murdered and slaughtered before hitler had completed his short reign of terror on this earth

the horrors of napoleon ravaging the whole of europe was now about to become "a repeat", with amplification, after 100 years

the parallels being so striking that one is forced, as a matter of simple deductive reasoning, to stop and take a closer comparative look at the two sets of wars to see ***who***, exactly, ***financed*** them both,

and ***cui bono*** i.e. who benefits ?

yes, just as the american civil war had made many fortunes for many (unscrupulous) persons, just so had the napoleonic wars made many fortunes immediately prior to that, between 1802 and 1815; and just as the hitlerian wars would also pay off handsomely for this same bunch of soulless types of characters, one century later

(war is a racket, after all; see the most highly decorated wwII general smedley butler's book by that title)

being a pattern which has continued to repeat, over and over again, from that time, until now (frat boyz "snakes in suits" who are highly addicted to war; the "crackheads" of war addiction)

it is against this "backdrop" that the old system of *neo-royalism will be caused to become disguised* as various different pretended shiny new political systems, being, in fact, just the same old pre-existing forms and substance, albeit with new names, slogans, flags and such like

for example, those using the names

fascism

nazism

socialism

communism

new world order

one world order

illuminati

democrats versus republicans

(being in reality, disguised uniparty politics, similar to that found in most king's courts) and 4th reich

in order to help you to better and more fully understand how these systems are great examples of what I mean by the terms of art, *neo-royalism and disguised neo-royalism*, before proceeding further, let me remind you of what is meant by these terms *royalism*, and *neo-royalism*, and *disguised neo-royalism*

for purposes of our analysis, here, we will stand back, for just a moment, in order to take a look at the bigger picture

let's begin with *a thought experiment*, by imagining a small group of persons

the village, with a tribe of persons, typically related by blood, and with a chieftain as a sort of ultimate father figure

as this first tribe grows larger, it will turn into a village compound, and from there, into a set of villages and further village compounds

now --- stop for a moment, and take a long, hard look

first, given this immediate context, *what is "the government" ?*

is there even one? or are all of the members of the community *semi-autonomous*, as regards their relations with one another ?

by what terms would we define and attempt to describe these primitive tribal forms of self-governance ?

and *secondly*, while, nevertheless, *most importantly*,

where does whatever governmental *power* and authority, which can be said to exist, arise from, or *emanate from, as it's ultimate immediate source* ?

does it come from the chieftain ?

only so long as those around him say so

but, what happens if they don't ?

can it truly be said, in any meaningful way, that all power and authority resides in the village chief ?

or is this just an arbitrary distinction ?

while we can continue to say that the power and authority are arising out of the tribal chief, again, as I have already said, do they really ?

what if there is no one to enforce his will on the other members of the village ?

what then ?

is he truly able to make what he wills come to pass, on his own ?

an emphatic

“no”, he cannot

here, our little embryonic king can be seen to be, in actuality, just as weak and ineffectual as all of those weak and ineffectual sycophantic persons who are continuously surrounding him and praising him

ouch !

our nascent chief is, here, learning the same lesson the later kings will come to more fully understand

you are not really a king, without an army of your own

yes, in order to impose one's own will on others, one will need muscle

they will need henchmen

they will need enforcers

a palace guard

a praetorian guard

but, as our nascent king/chieftain will soon enough also discover, a numerically smaller group, no matter how well armed, can easily be overcome by sheer numbers of humans; like a bunch of ants jumping all over a grasshopper and dragging it down onto the ground to eat it alive

or *like the ladies from the fish market* who led the charge upon the swiss guards at versailles, and later, those at the tuilleries, in paris, while mercilessly slaughtering them all with only the crudest of weapons

oh, those damned, unmindful, ever rebellious jacobin masses of mankind, always getting in the way

hence, the need for:

religion (falsely so-called)

and *philosophy*

and *modern dogmas*

and *modern mythologies*

and a nearly continuous barrage of *blatant propaganda*

and *science*
(falsely so-called)

and *education*
(also, falsely so-called)

all tossed together,
as a kind of *counter religion*
or *replacement religion*

like *robespierre's papier-mâché mountain*, from which he descended, as a modern-day moses, descending the mount of Sinai, and thereby causing his long overdue downfall, as “the terror” engulfed paris and much of the french countryside

the french “experiment in democracy” came to an abrupt, unceremonious, and rather ignominious end, with the rise of napoleon and his citizen’s army, known in history as le grande armee’

it is all uncannily similar to the rise of mussolini and hitler approximately 100 years, thereafter

and hence, *we see the same “power formula”*, which has been *repeated from time immemorial*

1. king/chieftain/executive
2. military
3. religion/philosophy
4. modern mythmaking

--- and never forget the king’s court and his administrative bureaucracy, which often both precedes, and succeeds, the actual king, himself, and without which the king would be rendered, basically useless, and unable to act

it is that truly great lesson of the french revolution to which I have already, previously, alluded, to wit:

the king cannot survive without his bureaucracy

*the bureaucracy, on the other hand,
often can do even better, for themselves,
without the king*

this philosophy and set of practices is what the entire 20th century brings into sharp focus, repeatedly, over and over again, with the firmly entrenched and firmly embedded bureaucracy, pretending as if they have any real and meaningful independent purpose for existence

they having all too quickly forgotten that what is true of the executive, as regards themselves, is also true of the voters and taxpayers

yes, just as the career bureaucracy can do far better for themselves outside of the constraints of the executive, thus being all too happy to be rid of the king or president or chairman, just so can the voters be far better off to be rid of the entire bureaucracy, which has so far outlived it's actual purpose and usefulness, and has become so huge and overly bloated as to become a burden and a clear and ever-present danger to liberty and self-governance

but, do not let this be cause for despair, because, *our founding fathers created these united states with the inbuilt ability to rapidly and efficiently defund the swamp at any time needed*

while the swamp cannot simply be drained, it most certainly can be defunded, and that with relative ease

it only takes a solid majority of dedicated, like-minded, persons in the house of representatives, to end the wrongly named fed reserve system (i.e. privately owned currency debasement board), and to begin the process for two thirds of the state legislatures to annul the communist inspired 16th amendment (income tax = 2d plank of marx's ten plank platform, with expressly stated purpose of getting rid of all private property)

the king's bureaucracies have, throughout history, often conspired together to be rid of the king, and to act, themselves, as the executive power, either directly, or indirectly (*no conspiracies, here ? --- indeed*)

we are watching exactly such practice playing out before our very eyes, directly in front of us, with this *faux impeachment* which we are all currently being subjected to, as I actually write these words on february 7, 2021

take a good long look at the pretended leaders of the two wings of the uniparty, pretending to be republicans and democrats, of which they are, in purely historical terms, neither

getting rid of the executive, or neutering the executive, is probably the one and only thing all of these lifelong, career bureaucrats can agree on, telling us far more about themselves than anything else ever could

yes, the corporation can continue without the CEO

the corporation can continue doing business, just fine, without the CEO, and indeed, is designed to be able to do this very thing

while the CEO, on the other hand, will not do well without the corporation

it being *this “fatal realization”* that *gave way to nearly all which was to follow* the storming of the bastille in 1789, *while noting that every single system which we are likely to examine holds this principle in common:*

*the party can survive without the leader
but, the leader cannot survive without the party*

and hence, *party politics* acts in the place of the king’s court, bringing along with it all of the *palace intrigue* which seems, inevitably, to come along with such systems

world war II is considered, by many, to be a continuation of world war I

many of the same issues which led to the first world war remained unresolved, while during the 15 year inter-war period these same problems continued to fester, causing quite a bit of inherent institutional instability, as the international order continued to steadily decay

much like today, everything was a matter of outward form

each group of losers in world war I now continued to watch for their opportunity to get their monarchical kingdoms back into their own hands

this will now form the foundational basis of all which has happened, from that time, until right here and now, this very day

for purposes of elucidation and clarification allow me to restate the matter in a more plain form, as follows:

any number of persons and groups of persons went into *world war I* expecting to get an *easy victory*

the war was advertised as, and *supposed to be, an easy victory, and would only last for six months*, remember? ---
” *see you in April* --- “ ?

by the time the war was actually over, four years later, between two thirds and *three quarters of those persons who had ruled for as long as anyone can remember, were now, apparently, permanently displaced*

went in strong

came out, four years later, broke and broken

deeply and hopelessly in debt to
international predatory banking cartels,
war profiteers,
and other
perpetual merchants of perpetual war and death

lots of national bond payments needing to be paid

actually, *it all had become something of a free for all*, as utter chaos became the “*new normal*” of the day

so --- what to do? what to do now that all is lost ?

answer: damage control

figure out how do I get out with as much material wealth as possible,
while knowing the game is over

one can draw a ***“bright line in the sand” at the year 1917***

“the war to end all wars” was finally nearing an end

the monarchs had lost most of their monarchies

and the world is just going to continue onward,
as if they never even existed

oh,
history, like the fates, can be so cruel

that was the sudden realization of all of these “would-be world rulers”,
all imitating the habsburgs in one form or another, for the most part;
all being “wanna-be habsburgs” who were now without a kingdom
or a people to be ruled, which is a serious problem for monarchists
and would-be monarchists

***yes, all that has happened in modern world history, from that time forward,
until this covid 19 plandemic, can all too readily be seen to be little more than
the “old world rulers” trying to intrude upon and then to impose themselves
upon, their fellow men and women***

yesterday, claiming and pretending to be tomorrow, while being, in fact, *completely and hopelessly out of touch* with history and historical reality

habsburgs

want their world kingdom back

ottomans

want their regional superpower kingdom back

german monarchists

want their kaiser to return to the throne

french monarchists

want their monarchy back

russian monarchists

want their czar to return
to the throne

that is a whole lot of people, all wanting to go back to
“the good old glory days”

except that, *now, they are confronted with that same problem* which octavian was confronted with before becoming augustus caesar, which is to say, the masses despised the grotesque excesses and absurd pretensions of monarchs, monarchies, and monarchists

one of the worst charges which could be leveled against julius caesar was that he was attempting to establish a monarchy; he was murdered, in cold blood, in the senate forum, by the “republican” senators, on the ides of march, (at the foot of a huge bust of pompey, his now deceased arch-rival and father-in-law) because of this very crime, the crime of trying to establish a monarchy

the crime of having dynastic ambitions

octavian solved that problem, for himself,
as well as for all who were to follow in his footsteps

his solution was to allow all of the trappings and practices of the ancient republic of rome, but, all of that done without allowing any real and meaningful power to get out of the hands of the princeps (and his praetorian guard)

“the first among many”

the one who is
“more equal”
than his fellows

how DO I get my kingdom back?

that is “the big question” around which the entire 20th century has been revolving for over 100 years, with very few persons ever even realizing that such, truly is the case, and with few of any supposed historians acknowledging, not only that such is the case, but that it is, in fact, *“the key”* to unlocking something which previously appeared to be nothing more than utter chaos and confusion, but which is, in fact, highly organized, and operating “below the surface”, where it can be thought to be invisible, and out of sight, or even altogether nonexistent, while continuing to be of the utmost importance to understanding what it is, exactly, which can be seen to be going on all around us

so now, following WWI, and the ending of numerous monarchies, for the first time in modern history we begin to move, almost as if inexorably (but while actually being caused by stealth and design) toward some kind or other of a *one world totalitarian dictatorship, designed to be used worldwide*

it is *that word “worldwide” which provides “the KEY”* to unlocking this entire shallow façade, so that you may, indeed, see it for what it truly is

regurgitated royalism i.e. attempted restoration of the ancient regime of Habsburg domination and control, or something very similar thereto, or closely modeled after

how can that be so ? I hear some asking

well, *think about it* for just a few moments; before World War I, who had ruled the entire western world (the so-called civilized world) for 500 years just prior to that “war to end all wars” ?

habsburgs = one time, actual, “one world rulers”

note how all systems during the 20th century hold this diabolical feature in common i.e. the desire for world domination and/or one world rule

all of these 20th century political “inventions” having come, nearly all at once, in historical terms, following post wwI loss of habsburg one world domination, which they had maintained, for 500 years, by means of trade, financial influence, and raw, naked military power, if and when needed, as so well learned from the VOC, aka dutch east indies company

while many people wonder at such *childish “saturday morning cartoonish” ideas* as wanting to “rule the world”, there is nothing new or strange about such thoughts when one is a habsburg or a habsburg (wanna be) “administrator in waiting”

so, to digress for just one moment, allow me to posit *the following query*:

do you honestly suppose that a huge interlocking dynastic system which had ruled the entire known world for centuries just disappeared overnight ?

where did they go ?

where are they now ?

why is so little ever heard about them, considering who and what they were and potentially are ?

and what about all of those numerous “extended family” members?

did they, too, just disappear ?

or might they, yes, just might they, be found *somewhere* ?

behind the scenes, actively working to restore the vast centuries old kingdoms which they have only just recently lost to the vicissitudes of fortune and bad advice, as they would have it

do you suppose that some of the industrial barons from habsburg domains became the modern industrial corporate robber barons and corporate raiders of wall street ?

might there, just might there, be the remains of empire and monarchical pretensions literally permeating all (pretended) modern institutions which claim to be so concerned with various different one world causes ?

like loss of ozone layer, dying oceans, global freezing, global warming, and global climate change, getting rid of nukes, and now plandemics

all designed to cause widespread munchausen syndrome and munchausen syndrome by proxy

just ask sigmund freud’s nephew *eddie bernays* about that one

he does discuss such matters, at length, in his incredible little book with the unassuming title of *“Propaganda”*

which, thanks to its use as a playbook for would-be world rulers, including hitler, goebbels (minister of propaganda), and mussolini, is possibly one of the most influential books of all time, while its very existence continues unbeknownst to nearly all persons

*eddie bernays (the father of modern public relations)
meets the habsburgs ??*

*and from that point in time, forward,
“the matrix”*

eddie bernays meets hollywood

an permanent and institutionalized alternate reality

as one in which the habsburgs won the great war and now continue to rule the entire civilized world

it is pure fantasy, but of course

but, unfortunately, this fantasy has a lot of \$\$\$ riding on it

just think of all of that wonderful, fine, ill-gotten persian gold;
sacks upon sacks full of all of those ill-gotten gains,
all just for you, for only but a small price

modernly, those ancient sacks of fine persian gold also come in the additional forms of

rogue CIA \$\$\$

and

communist chinese \$\$\$

and

drug cartel \$\$\$

and

oil cartel \$\$\$

and

big tech \$\$\$

and

foreign \$\$\$

etc etc etc ---

(the vast majority, ultimately, provided by the U.S. taxpayer, by means of U.S. INCOME TAX and FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, being tantamount to PERMANENTLY INSTITUTIONALIZED “TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION”)

all representing those very same sacks of fine persian gold used to bribe the athenian politicians 2400 years ago

some things just never change, it would seem

it being important to understand, and then to more fully understand, that *just prior to world war I*, many nations had combined together as signatories of numerous trade treaties, which created various international conventions and trade groups and trade pacts

it was considered to be impossible, indeed, while repeatedly claiming that it would be nothing less than downright suicidal, for any member nation/s to go to war with one another, considering that most nations and persons had interwoven and interdependent economies with common economic interests, *just like right now*

it was considered as being *entirely against their own self-interest* to allow hostilities to get stoked up into saber rattling and troop movements on the ground, again, *just like right now*

of course, “the great war”, itself, rather forcefully disproved this entire set of “frat boy” dogmas, theoretical claims, “expert” assertions, and commonly propagated doctrines and assumptions, while one can, nevertheless, still hear echoes of them down to this very day; *as if history is repeating right here and now, but, no one is noticing, watching, or caring*

all being too caught up “in the moment” to even begin to fathom what is going on all about them

the ultimate point of all of this being, that *if one is to think in terms of different kinds of imperial pretensions, desires, and interests, complete with already existing, interlocking dynastic family connections, then one begins to be able to see the outlines of the same vast and unwieldy underlying bureaucratic institutions* which are common to the queen of england, and to all monarchies, having become the “new societal norm” in these united states of america, while pretending that it is, indeed, otherwise

***all actually being one and the same, as if cut out of dough
by some giant cookie-cutter***

each little “would-be ruler of mankind” is supposed to be responsible for his little tract of land, like a mayor, or a governor, or a vassal state to some distant overlord

what connects them all together is the common administration by those who have managed multiple kingdoms, principalities, and republics, for centuries

just as habsburg monarchs (or any monarch, for that matter) will tend to want to centralize all governmental powers into the hands of a few trusted co-conspirators, so here, they will do the same

they must constantly tinker with various independent states in such a manner as to make their presence continuously felt, as a threatening reminder, as well as to assure that these once independent states entirely become vassals to their overlords, ideally, without ever even realizing that such truly is the case, and without a shot ever being fired, coming right out of sun tzu’s “Art of War”

THIS is what surreptitious attempted habsburg retaking of domination, control, and subjugation looks like, when it is coming directly toward you

so, now comes one of the more ironic parts in all of this

take a look at one world communism, as espoused by russian bolsheviks in 1917

then take a longer view, from lenin and trotsky, to stalin, and from there on into the cold war

now, take a look at the institutions and practices which were in use for 500 years preceding WWI, by the actual Habsburgs, and their numerous would-be/wannabe world rulers, imitators, and other like-minded pretenders

finally take a look around you, in our own country of the USA, as a matter for comparison

note the similarities between the monarchical systems of yesteryear (the ancien regime) and the pretended modern systems of totalitarianism and collectivization

both have total top down command structure

there is an executive at the top of a very hierarchical structure ***in both***

both are very tightly controlled

everyone is told what they can and cannot do, say, and think

less than 1% of population owns 99% of material wealth and land and means of production

both have enormous bureaucracies and enormous highly corrupted patronage systems

(corruption = using public \$\$\$, from the public fisc/treasury, for personal use and/or private gain)

both dictate to their fellow humans

both pretend to natural superiority

one, by means of promoting tenets of social darwinism and nihilism,
and pretended atheism

the other, by use of various forms of priestcraft, and wizardry, both ancient
and modern, and some by outright witchcraft

both pretend to be scientific

both have numerous institutions of “higher” learning

both have centralized banking systems and highly corrupted speculative
exchanges (gambling casinos pretending to be stock and commodity exchanges)

the list could, actually, go on and on and on, but, here is ***the main point***

on taking a closer look, ***one cannot tell one world communist international
from habsburg king’s courts***, with all of their numerous and interlocking
bureaucracies

***communism =
monarchy without the king, just like octavian***

only, ***instead of princeps***, we have ***“the chairman”***

in actual substance, the communist international is little or nothing more than *a habsburg court in waiting*, waiting for the king to return and be enthroned; it is but a placeholder

and all of that while pretending to be the worker's party and worker's party movement, having come from the ground upward

(now, that truly IS incredible)

it would seem that after giving the matter some serious thought the old world royalists of the ancien regime soon enough found themselves embroiled in controversy with the brand new group of freemen who had now begun to spread far and wide

the french revolution having spread from france in 1789, around a good deal of europe, and then leading up to *the worldwide revolution of 1848*

from there it continued to spread even further, geographically speaking, as a good deal of the earth's population was now beginning to understand how to live without the monarchical fetters by which they had been bound for so many centuries by their fellows

many of the now defunct monarchies, who were, at the start of world war I (completely unbeknownst to them) well on their way into their final and ultimate descent, had simply altogether ceased to exist, by 1918

following the french revolution the world had divided into two main contending groups, and, only two, with these two different systems having provided the foundational basis for all which has followed, from that time, until now

these two groups became known in history as *royalists* or *monarchists*, who were opposed by those who referred to themselves as *constitutionalists*, or as *constitutional republicans*

republican, in this context, meaning, those who espouse a republican form of governance, in contrast to a monarchy, or aristocracy, or oligarchy

the medieval italian city-state republics having become, at that time, a widely recognized model of such forms of governance, for example, the italian city-state republics of genoa, venice (venezia), florence (firenze), sienna, and pisa

as time continued onward, *a third group*, (in addition to monarchists and constitutional republicans) came into being, which I shall, here, refer to as *neo-royalists*, and that, coming in any given number of different shades and permutations

it is this *neo-royalism*, in it's guise of "*disguised neo-royalism*" which will become so *important* to understand, as we proceed further forward

neo-royalism had quickly evolved from describing something which was somewhere between monarchism and constitutional republicanism, and from there, into a kaleidoscopic variety of multitudinous forms, including, *socialism*, *one world socialism/communism*, *fascism*, *nazism*, and numerous different forms of *authoritarianism* and *totalitarianism*, all coming in guises far too numerous to even begin to count

all being, in actual fact, and practice, little more than varying forms of the ancient regime, albeit, while being given a "modern" gloss, with the "*new*" *royalism* looking quite a bit like the old royalism, once you look just a little bit closer than you are probably used to wanting or liking to do

much confusion has been, purposely, caused by misuse of political and socio-economic terms of art

the language of everyday speech, itself, is reduced to slogans and half-truths, designed to obscure true intentions and beliefs, for any number of different reasons

I will, here, address a number of these specific words and usages, so that we may all be using words to mean the same thing, instead of meaning "*all things to all people*"

those who choose to hide behind concepts and practices of ***disguised neo-royalism*** never want others to consider the ramifications of the fact that there really are, throughout history, only several forms of government worthy of the name, and that this has been so since ancient times

I am reminding of this, because one of the favorite tricks of those who would hopelessly obfuscate matters (on purpose and with purpose), is to use words in ways to mean things which you do not and would not ever use those very same words to mean, and would certainly never be in agreement with such usage, while pretending that it is quite otherwise than that, and that we are all using the same word/s to mean the same things

as an illustrative example, many persons just love to make comparisons and false distinctions between communism and socialism, as if they are not one and the same thing, excepting that one is local and regional, while the other is a worldwide, one world totalitarian system

when speaking of socialism, they actually mean pretended socialism,
with little or no regards for real historical facts, if not outright disdain for reality

just as they use the word “democracy” as a coded word to mean communism

USA is a democratic republic, not a democracy

a soviet, as in soviet russia, on the other hand, is, in its idealized form,
a small democratic group of factory workers, to wit, “a democracy”;
this is why communists can speak of democracy without citizens of USA
having any idea that they do not mean by use of that word what you do

they use the word “socialism”, along with other equally vague concepts,
far too numerous to count, in order to “*mean all things to all people*”

the socialist versus communist argument will all too quickly be seen to be
something of a ruse, or the ultimate two false choices imaginable, being,
therefore, a waste of your time, and mental, and spiritual energy

(which, incidentally, is exactly what it is designed to do by means of
emotional vampirism), while causing you to argue about hair splitting
distinctions (akin to how many angels can dance on the head of a pin)
and wasting precious mental energy to think about things which are
not helpful toward solving any given, actual, *real world* problem

the socialist versus communist distinction being nothing more than the
left/right paradigm and labeling, as well as the conservative vs liberal paradigm
and labeling, in a new guise and form, ***being the ultimate false dichotomy***

what is missing in all of this is the fact that once one stands back and takes a broader overview of matters, it quickly becomes immediately apparent, that one is forced to categorize the various different contending systems of “governance”, if one is to speak intelligently regarding such matters

one must understand what kind of a system of governance one is living under in order to define terms and learn from history, and all the moreso, if one is to get a grasp upon what it is that is actually taking place, here, and now, with our highly unconstitutional and overtly tyrannical *covid 19 lockdown*, which continues to take place even as I write this

once one begins to categorize different systems of government and governance, it quickly becomes apparent that, for example, socialism and one world communism are both *totalitarian*, *collectivist*, and *authoritarian* forms of governance

they are not actually two different things, but one and the same

that is to say, in terms of *liberty* and *self-autonomy* and *self-governance*, they are, truly, all one and the same thing

once one realizes that there really are only 3 forms of government, as has been recognized, as such, since ancient times, then one can begin to see that all one really needs to do to get past all of the purposeful obfuscation, is to determine which of the three forms of government it is, exactly, which we are looking at and examining

it is this “form” which provides the distinguishing characteristics which truly define one institutional system from another

the three systems of government are:

monarchy

democracy

(classical 5th century athenian/attic)

and

mixed governments,

(being various combinations

and permutations of both

monarchy and democracy)

again, in the form of a list, beginning from the most totalitarian form of governance, and from there, moving to the other extreme of self-rule and personal autonomy by individual members of the demos (pronounced DAY-moss), i.e. “the people”, by which was meant the adult male citizens of athens; all of whom, incidentally, are initiates into the eleusinian mysteries, as a requirement for citizenship

1. ***monarchy***

2. ***mixed government***

(monarchy and democracy

combined together)

3. 5th century (b.c.) ***athenian democracy***

upon more fully realizing that such truly is the case, one is now in a much better position to examine, and to categorize, oligopolies, aristocracies, plutocracies, autocracies, communism, fascism, socialism, parliamentarianism, and (pretended) two party politics complete with *majoritarianism*, by which 51% of vote= winner takes all, the other 49% be damned

= the ever present excuse for lying in order to get elected
so you can “do good” i.e. the “ends justifies means”
having become, hereby, permanently institutionalized

all of these purportedly different forms are nothing more than one of the three forms of government

all will fit in somewhere on this scale, measuring from monarchy, with little or no self-autonomy, to athenian democracy, with as much self-autonomy as is thought to be practical, given city living conditions in densely populated quarters

take note that this scale measures the degree to which the *personal autonomy of the individual* does or does not exist

as an example, in an absolute monarchy, the personal autonomy of the individual is nearly nonexistent

in the constitutional monarchy, on the other hand, there are, at least, some constraints placed upon the monarch

in a republican form of government, in order to contrast, one will find varying degrees of personal autonomy, instead of none, or nearly so

in a so-called *democracy*, using classical athens as the historical model, the will of the majority will be said to prevail

while the personal autonomy is at it's most extreme in this system, note that the individual does not have full unfettered personal autonomy, in spite of this

there will forever and always be a tug-of-war between the rights of the individual, versus the rights of the group

such that the individual cannot be said to be entirely autonomous, so long as others, besides himself, or herself, are involved

finally, the least restrictive form of governance would be in the form of the individual living on his or her own little plot of land, exactly as he or she sees fit

and thus is provided *a continuum*, in the form of the least restrictive, to most restrictive, in terms of how it affects personal autonomy
i. e. *the right to be left alone*

our little scale of personal autonomy begins with “government” with no power, whatsoever, or with very limited power, and from there, all the way across the scale to the most despotic and tyrannical “*one man rule*” imaginable, as is so often epitomized by so many monarchies, throughout all of history

in order, hopefully, to *make these matters more comprehensible*, for analytic purposes, I will simplify it just a little bit more, as follows:

full personal autonomy

= the individual

by himself or herself

less personal autonomy

= a sort of group rule

= democracy

even less personal autonomy

= mixed government

examples of mixed government being, for example, republics, oligopolies, aristocracies, plutocracies, and even kleptocracies

there is less personal autonomy in various forms of republics and so-called republican governance

very little personal autonomy

= constitutional monarch

no personal autonomy

= absolute monarchy

= one man rule

or, *to put it another way*, this would be the typical *scale of personal autonomy*

no government

some, *limited government*

invasive government

near-total government

total government (typically “*one man rule*”

or “*rule by committee*”)

now, let us stop for just a moment to see how the *left/right paradigm* and the *liberal/conservative paradigm* and the *two party system (controlled opposition uniparty)* fit into this concept of using a scale which has non-government on one end of the scale, with total government (totalitarianism) on the other end of the scale; a scale leading from slavery to freedom, or vice versa

so, what is meant by *left/right, conservative/liberal, democrat/republican* ?

three false dichotomies, all in a row ?

how so ? you may be asking yourself

let's start with

the left/right paradigm

left of what ?

right of what ?

most would respond that it is left or right of center

but, what is the center ?

and who or what makes it so ?

center of what ?

the *artificiality* of the construct having become, immediately, readily apparent, as one begins to consider such matters

is there a center ?

does such a thing even exist ?

in this immediate context, it does not

the left and the right ends of the spectrum are what will create a center

but here, the left and right are dependent upon defining and locating a non-existent center before being able to even define my terms “left” and “right”

it can all too readily be seen to mean nothing, or, *“all things to all people”*

which is tantamount, being the same thing

so, where in the world could such a bizarre way of defining a political spectrum originate from ?

where did it come from?

well --- **left and right** were the *two sides of the chamber* in which the earliest french revolutionary parliaments sat, following the french revolution

on one side, the right side, sat the royalists

on the left side of the parliamentary chamber were “the rabble”

the left side of the chamber consisting of those who had successfully overthrown the monarchy and who intended to make sure that there will be no restoration of the monarchy

left = anti-monarchical

right = monarchical

here can be seen the two real and actual political cleavage lines forming ever-widening chasms, which had now become fully exposed for all to see, but, which, notably, existed both long before, during, and following the french revolution

the true lines of division and of demarcation had now become quite clear for all to see

monarchists

and

anti-monarchists

these represent the two ends of the political spectrum, arising from issues that were thought to be of such importance, that the seating in the parliamentary chamber had to be divided in two, with one half sitting on one side, the other half sitting on the other side

notice, *there is no middle* in the parliamentary chamber

and

there is no center

only left and right

the parliament consisting of only two differing groups of persons

one wanted to restore monarchy

= right side of chamber

the other wanted to make sure there was no restoration of monarchy

= left side of chamber

there really is not much room for “middle of the road”, “left of center” and “right of center” sophistry when the choices are so stark and plain for all to see

gotta pick a side

this is *in striking contrast to modern usage*, which uses *the word left* to mean “*communistic*”, and *the word right* to mean “*fascistic*”

even though, in terms of personal autonomy, they are one and the same thing; all being forms of totalitarianism/absolutism/collectivism/authoritarianism

again, it can all too readily be seen that the actual real world left/right paradigm has completely broken down, but while the words remained, albeit with new definitions, designed to further obscure, and obfuscate, instead of to clarify

LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
socialism and one world socialism i.e. communism	non-existent	fascism

note that the entire scale goes from one form of totalitarianism to another form of the same

it is, in fact, no scale at all

but only one small part of a larger measuring scale, of which it pretends to be the measuring scale, itself, in it's entirety

at both ends of the continuum are totalitarian forms of governance

the pretended center, is in fact, non-existent

there being no center between two forms of totalitarianism

= it is *a false dichotomy, the choices you have been given are false*

choice a

is choice b

and there is,

in the real world,

no choice c

in addition to the words “left” and “right”, another pair of words which have been commonly used as pretended terms of political discourse, are the words “*conservative*” and “*liberal*”

these two words, along with numerous associated concepts, are tossed about rather frequently, as if they have a meaning which should be plain, and obvious to all

in fact, such is far from being the case

yes, once again, it is time to stop and to define our terms before continuing further

in common parlance “conservative” and “liberal” have become *nearly synonymous with the “left/right” paradigm* which was just previously discussed

I think most persons would consider conservative to be equivalent to “the right” (as if there is such a thing, in reality, which there is not)

and most would likely also agree that liberal would be considered to be “the left”, while understanding that the user of such incredibly vague terminology likely has given little or no consideration to the actual historical definitions and historic usage of the two terms, and while also remembering, that by equating the conservative/liberal labeling with the left/right paradigm, *one has now introduced all of the definitional errors of left/right*, a false dichotomy, into the definition of liberal versus conservative

again, we must first ask ourselves whether these words even have a definition at all, these terms of art being another great example of “*meaning all things to all people*”

which is equivalent to saying that it has no actual meaning

other than that given to it, in the heat of the moment, during argumentation regarding politics or religion

so, taking a look at *the word “conservative”*, the actual word itself, in its most primary definition, *means “to conserve”*

to “conserve”, *something*

in the political realm it would mean *to preserve the status quo*

to keep things “the way they are”, or, the way they “were” (i.e. or thought or believed to be)

so, what is it, exactly, that is being conserved,
anyways? you might have been wondering to yourself

monarchy

monarchism

the ancien regime

conserve means to preserve monarchy

or to restore monarchy

yes, it is ***the monarchical system*** which is said to be ***in need of preservation***
and/or restoration

up until 1789, with the start of the french revolution, very little thought
had ever been given to the matter of what the world could, or would, look like
without monarchy and monarchists, and monarchism, because even thinking
such thoughts, much less communicating them, in any form whatsoever, would
be considered to be the capital crime of sedition (not to mention the
heresy of freethinking)

ultimately, the ***conserving***, which is spoken of by the word “conservatism”,
is the conservation of what has come to be known in history as
the “ancien régime”

which was a sort of “shorthand way” of saying “pre-french revolution”

the way things were, and always had been, until those damned jacobins turned
up with half the city in tow

it can readily be seen that *the usage of the word* “conservative”, when used modernly, *is far different from, and, indeed, bears little resemblance, or relationship to, the historic usage of this word*

it being this very built-in obfuscation which likely makes usage of such words so common and so popular

*means all things
to all people
= is meaningless*

such that one person is able to use the word to mean one thing, while another person is equally able to use exactly the same word to mean exactly the opposite, oftentimes in the same sentence or paragraph or conversation

the modern usage of this word “conservatism”, as equating with the so-called “right”, causes much confusion in usage of this word

and provides lots of cover for sophistry, in place of critical reasoning, and cool thinking, rational, fact-based analysis

next, we shall take a quick look at the word “liberal”, when used in the context of the “liberal versus conservative” paradigm

again, the word “liberal” is modernly used to describe those who are, essentially, socialists and “closet” communists, now calling themselves “progressives”

using the word to describe totalitarian systems should strike one as incredibly odd, given the history of the usage of this word

for long before the 1960's and 70's there was a political party, and force, and movement already using the name "liberal" to describe themselves

the centers of this liberalism were in france, germany, and england

this system of thought and beliefs and practices represented laissez faire free market philosophy (laissez faire is french for "leave it alone") with a strong emphasis on individual liberty and personal autonomy

the highly influential philosopher, john stuart mill, the father of utilitarianism, was, himself, a liberal, and a liberal mp (member of parliament)

the liberal party was known as "the party of william gladstone", from 1868 to 1894

the name of gladstone having become synonymous with liberalism, in the minds of many

none of the liberals could be said to be socialists, and the communists didn't even exist, yet

weirdly enough, the word was used to mean the exact opposite of what it has come to mean today

during the 19th century the liberal party and a liberal parliament ruled england for decades

the party was thought of as being the political embodiment of the enlightenment ideals of liberalism, as then known to them

the main tenets of liberalism cluster around the ideas of free market enterprise, as espoused by adam smith, in his “Causes of the Wealth of Nations”, first published in 1776, and said to be the most widely read book in the american colonies, second only to the bible

when contrasting usage of this word “liberal”, during the 19th century, with it’s usage during the 20th century, and on into our own time, right now, ***it is important to understand*** that a word which meant one thing to nearly all persons was subtly, purposefully, and methodically switched in meaning and definition, so that the enemies of freedom, liberty, and material progress were able to take all of the positive attributes and associations from the memory of the liberal party era in england, and then use this to describe themselves, even though they hold the exact opposite sets of beliefs

the name “liberal” being a name well known as standing for a popular and widespread movement

so that now, liberals are no longer liberals at all, but a subterfuge has taken place, replacing the real historic liberalism with something completely the opposite of itself

they take the name of something which most people think of favorably, and then apply that very same name to themselves = a “make-believe” world

the main difference between the old liberalism and the new “liberalism” being that, now, instead of voluntarily and without coercion, being liberal with my own material goods and services, these utopian pretended benefactors of humankind will, instead, use the full power of the compulsory apparatus of “government”, in what can only reasonably be described as an ***usurpation***

so, with the advent of the modern “liberal”, this selfsame word came to mean to be liberal --- with other people’s money, lives, labor, and property

which can easily and readily be seen to be nothing more than a “grand system” of patronage

except that in place of an actual *patron* (pronounced *pa-TRONE*), supplying his or her own property to help and assist those in need, here, these modern day “liberals” (so-called, only by themselves, but of course) take property away from others by any and all means possible, so that they may claim to more equitably *redistribute the wealth* and surplus production (i.e. *savings/capital*)

but of course, with all of this *false philanthropy* being done for the benefit of themselves, above all else, with any remaining “wealth” (i.e. capital/savings) to be handed out to their “far too numerous to count” retainers, all wanting more and more of those pieces of the economic pie, while doing nothing to increase the size of the pie

then these usurpers hold themselves out as being indispensable, no less, along with the *political indoctrination centers* which they label as “*schools*” and “*universities*”

“schools” where *no critical reasoning is taught*, or even allowed, and where there is *a complete lack of “freedom of academic inquiry”*

“schools” where all are *taught to be “yes men”*, and are taught that they are *nothing more than cogs* in a huge machine, run by others, and not for their own wellbeing

where they are taught atheism, as if a religious tenet

where they are *taught that “science”* (falsely so-called)
has disproved the existence of a supreme being

while not being taught that one cannot prove a negative
(here, non-existence)

nihilism being their “*creed of the day*”

yes,

they hold themselves out as being indispensable, when, in fact,
they are “non-essential” as one could possibly be or get

the biggest con job ever seen, or, at least one of them

defund the swamp, already !!

and so, *this same word, “liberal”, is used to mean two opposite things*

just like the “left/right paradigm”, being nothing more than *a false dichotomy*
i.e. pretending that there are only two choices, and no more

so, here too, it would seem that *we are being given only two choices,*
two false choices

both words “liberal” and “conservative” having only vague meanings, at best,
and can even be seen to have been and continue to be used for purposes of
obfuscation, much moreso than for clarification and/or elucidation

*it is the “word material” of the sophist, using his or her sophistry ,
used in place of true analysis of actual, empirical, real world facts*

with *such lack of specificity*, and with no apparent desire to be specific,
and given the fact that the several words being discussed are so widely used,
can only make one wonder whether we are, here, dealing with a
*“cult mentality”, having taken the place of critical and rational thinking,
while causing loss of the ability to discern truth and fact from fiction*

in conclusion, it can readily be seen that the *four main words* used in common
“day to day” political discourse,

“left”

“right”

“conservative”

“liberal”

are, as commonly used, all meaningless words

none having any specific definition, which is being used by all of the persons
who so glibly toss these words around as if they have some great and obvious
self-evident meaning, which is or should be plain to all

in fact, they having no such meaning or definition

they are, instead, words which can easily be twisted and massaged
into any shape so desired

and thereby causing confusion, in place of reason, and that, with purpose

after seeing how sloppily the language of everyday political discourse has been used, causing it, thereby, to become badly compromised, in terms of communication of political ideas and concepts, and given how utterly lacking in precision it is, one can only wonder how this way of thinking and acting is going to play out when it comes to actual political parties, themselves

that is to say, if left/right and liberal/conservative are little more than great examples of false dichotomy, will this same state of affairs manifest itself in party formation ? and practices ?

let's consider this matter for just a few moments

since the 1960s, at least, many persons have complained that we do not actually have a two party system, but are, instead, a uniparty, camouflaged as two separate parties, while being, in fact, but two wings of the one uniparty

as an example, when democrats hold power, they act like the ravenous political establishment (huge patronage operation, at taxpayer expense) which they, in fact, truly are, and represent

now, once having been demonstrably proven to be so, sure enough, the opposing party, those calling themselves republicans, will forcefully hold themselves out as being the solution to the problems created by the ***(controlled) opposition party***

then, once the new party has been in power for awhile, low and behold, it begins to look more and more like the organization which it did, only just recently, replace

so, you guessed it, the original party now forcefully cries out that all has gone amiss because YOU voted those other guys in, and now the whole cycle begins all over again

just like 9/11

*“everybody is at fault,
therefore,
nobody is at fault”*

it being their ever-constant mantra, and, indeed, way and manner of life

once one stands back and takes a good, long, hard look, it becomes all too readily apparent, that both supposed parties are each nothing more than two parts of *one single and huge institutionalized patronage system*

each pretended party lives by handing out other person’s money to persons who have not earned it, and are not entitled to it, but who will, after finding self-justifications too numerous to list, happily take all they can get their hands on

and herein lies *the primary source, yeah, the “fountainhead” of all of the pain and suffering and misery and deprivation which is sure to follow*, when the fruits of production are taken from those who actually created the prosperity, which is then to be given to the most useless members of the society (useless, in terms of material productive capacity)

one can only wonder how short-lived such an arrangement must be, by its very nature

short lived, that is, until ---

somebody or some group of persons decides to use force against their own fellow citizens, in order to compel the productive persons to give the fruits of their labors to these *pretended patrons* --- a *usurpation*

now, what you have, in this case, is a ***PRETENDED two party system***, consisting of little more difference than *who gets to hand out “the goodies”*, the stolen property, and other stolen fruits of productivity, and to whom all else has been, and is being, relegated to some mental compartment where it is never given any thought about, if at all possible

a one party system with two wings

a uniparty

each pretended party using non-specific, and very slippery words and language, to describe themselves, and their latest great plans and proposed solutions to non-existent problems, being continuously cooked up in what pretend to be universities, while sounding like little more than very expensive, and *non-essential as can be*, charlatans, when all is said and done

yes, as it turns out, the parties, themselves, are “*the near perfect image of the misuse of language and terminology from which they arose*”

two choices, and two choices, only

two FAKE choices, and two FAKE choices, only

WHAT IS PATRONAGE ?

what is a patron ?

(pronounced ***pah-TRONE***)

these two terms, “***patron***” , and “***patronage***”, are much more ***important*** than one might first think upon hearing the words, or examining the meanings of them, because they provide the foundation upon which our modern political party system currently rests

patronage is a system

it is ***a societal arrangement***, which was to become of particular historical significance thanks to the romans

they started their little city 800 years b.c.

while the western half of the empire only lasted until the 5th century a.d. (476), the eastern half didn't finally end until the fall of Constantinople in the 15th century (1453)

that would be 1300 years for the western half, and over 2000 years of continuous history for the eastern half

due to this longevity, and long-term stability, students of government and politics will, often, want to take a closer look at roman history, to see how it is that this was accomplished, and ***what important “lessons of history”*** may be gleaned therefrom

what made them different from all of the others which surrounded them ?

why were they successful ?

what did it take to gain and hold onto success ?

how did they lose it ?

was there an actual “fall of rome” ?

in order *to begin* our analysis, one is required, first, to look at the entirety of the history of rome, for an overview, or what is often referred to as a “*bird’s eye view*”, of exactly what took place during those over *2000 years*, which may be of significance to the student of political economy in our own day and age, or any other, for that matter

this *politico-economic history of rome* will be seen to be rather illuminating, when it comes to this matter of *patronage systems*

because, it is in rome that the patronage system reached a sort of a level of sophistication never before seen on such a wide scale

this system arose, as if by a series of most fortunate accidents, coming directly out of the very fabric of the day to day lives of citizens of roma, which gave rise to, and had given rise to, centuries of systematized practices, which culminated in a system which was, *at its core, a system of patronage, being a system of reciprocal duties owed*

*between master/patron
and servant/retainer*

this *roman system* of patronage was *much like the medieval feudalism, which was based upon this earlier system*, which had soon arisen following the fall of the western roman empire in the fifth century a.d.

this is an era where men think in terms of their own little private and personal fiefdoms

complete with rights of defloration and lawful killing of my personal adversaries, with the landholder actually owning his or her very own courts, as a source of revenue

so, let's look more closely back to the beginnings, in 800 b.c.

rome began as a trading post on a bend of the tiber river, which was a number of miles inland from where the river enters the sea

rome's main occupation was trade and war

alongside trade was the ever present military and military police

according to "*the Aeneid*", which contains one of the most important and widespread foundation myths, it was claimed that rome began almost by accident

for the story concerns a group of soldiers who were trying to return home from what is now modern turkey, following 10 years of siege warfare at the ancient city of troy

the home where the soldiers were trying to return to was greece

as they made their way back home, they were said to have been blown off course, by a huge and unexpected storm, causing them to forcibly land in this strange, and hitherto unknown land (unknown to greeks, at any rate), which we modernly know of as the italian peninsula

because this first “core group” of would-be city builders were battle-hardened soldiers they went about building the city as if it were a military encampment

highly organized
highly regimented
warriors, to a person

it didn't take long for these first romans to begin to mingle with their newfound neighbors

because rome was a military encampment, the people running the city had little or no problem with allowing vagabonds, outlaws, scofflaws, murderers and other fugitives from justice, to group together, pretty much as they pleased

all were welcome in rome

which acted as a huge “magnet” to numerous “never-do-wells”

because the military could so easily overwhelm any would-be domestic competitor, these soldier/governors were able to effectively keep matters in check most of the time

such that there was an ever-continuous sort of precarious balancing act between competing interests

it didn't take long for the neighboring *etruscan rulers* to make their power and civilizing influences to be felt

before very long, indeed, the neighboring etruscans managed to intermarry with their newfound neighbors, and to create numerous political alliances, and to pay off enough people with their often "ill-gotten gain", such that they were able to establish a tyrannical monarchical dynasty; the *tarquin family* dynasty

hence, the first 250 years of roman history are etruscan history

as a matter of fact, it is the final 250 years of etruscan history

with no one, at the time, guessing that such was, truly, the case, just to be sure

following 250 years of tyrannical tarquin rule, the roman citizens, led by a man named brutus, were able to permanently, and fully, "throw off the tarquin yoke"

following the overthrowing of the universally-hated etruscan monarchy, *the romans needed a non-monarchical form of governance*, to replace the universally-hated tarquin kings and their monarchy

they came up with the concept of *the res publica*

res = property

publica = the public/citizens

res publica = public property

this, in contradistinction to the monarch/warlord owning everything in his or her own personal capacity

the roman form of *republican governance* lasted for 500 years, as it became more and more the victim of its own successes, as this little city state, heir to sumero/babylon, continued to grow larger and larger, while becoming vastly richer and richer

this original little “nation” of individual small family farms (with all of the virtue and work ethic implied thereby) gradually gave way to what became known in history as *the latifundia*

the corporate farm, which is often said to provide the dividing line between republic and empire

as material wealth became so concentrated in the hands of a few, the entire food supply, for this now “colossally-sized human endeavor”, of maintaining empire, was owned and controlled by only several persons or groups of persons

in the study of roman history it is thought that the small farmers steadily lost their small farms, forcing the once morally upright farmers to now become one more group of underemployed riffraff, floating about from one city to another

as time went on, and as the “takers” of these farming operations continued to take, the needs of the dispossessed began to far outweigh the now vastly diminished productive capacity of the land

it is among these dispossessed city-dwellers that the already pre-existing system of patron and retainer began to evolve, mature, and thrive, as never before seen

one must remember that when a small percentage of people own all or nearly all of the land, productive capacity, and material resources, then whatever group of persons outside of this immediate “favored group” will, of necessity, suffer loss, in some direct proportion to the gain which the patron has accumulated

as an example, if there are only 100 bushels of wheat for all to eat, and the patron takes 50 bushels, right up front, and then begins to position himself to grab as many bushels as he is able, then simple arithmetic should amply demonstrate that as patron gains, retainers lose

each bushel of wheat going to the patron now being one less bushel for all of the other hungry mouths, all combined together

in terms of productivity, it is almost as if the entire *economic system* is *hopelessly frozen* in time

because, in this system *there never can be MORE food and productive capacity, only less*

ultimately, *there being no point in exerting extra energy*, just to have the hard won fruits of all of that labor taken by another, and that, all the moreso, when it is, as is so often the case, persons claiming to be more enlightened than you as regards spending the fruits of your labor

while being all too happy to put a gun to your head, figuratively, and literally, in order, as it turns out, to “wholesale rob you” of all that you have or are likely ever to have

this going right to the very heart of royal absolutism

the king and his counselors always “knowing better” than all of you serfs and slaves and plebs, what is best for you

echoes of which can be heard all around me, at this very moment

yes, there is, has been, and likely always will be, some clown or group of clowns out there claiming to know more than all of the rest of us

soon enough, this *pretended patron* gains access to the treasury of the res publica, and, too soon thereafter, begins to act as if it’s his, and his alone, as opposed to the public trust, which it truly is, and thereby creating that great division between institutionalized theft and plunder (bastiat’s “legalized plunder”) and those who would act as true fiduciaries, who take their oath to the constitution much more seriously than seems to have been the case with these others, and which division can be seen so fully acting out in front of us, at this very hour

lesson = take it as a “given” that the public fisc attracts lots of bad people, and, accordingly, must be scrupulously protected from them --- lesson learned

now, the reason why I have just spent this amount of time on the various labels which are most commonly used today, is to emphasize how different these various constructs are from that which I am about to tell

to summarize,

“government” ALWAYS begins with the individual

self-government, from which arises “collective right” , actually far precedes any group of individuals

self-government preexists the community and the group

it is from this ***collection of common, pre-existent, individual rights*** of self-governing individuals, that the ***collective rights***, and the right of collective defense of ***life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness*** can be said to have found their origination

it all began with the self-governing individual, and then as there were more and more of these self-governing individuals, they gradually formed into communities, as can be seen in the following “outline” list

from individual to ---

tribe/family/extended family

village

town

city states

region/locality

nation states

this list provides a broad overview of what we are just about to discuss and more fully examine

first question:

what is government ?

second question:

how and where does the *ultimate power and authority reside* in each of these societal arrangements ?

third question:

how many forms of government are there ?

for now, we shall concern ourselves with only these three questions

starting with the *third question, first*

how many types of government exist ?

three:

monarchy

democracy

and mixed government

it has been considered to be so from ancient times,

all the way up to the days of montesquieu,

during the american revolutionary war

this means that *whatever name one chooses* to call any modern system, it will, in fact, actually only fall into one of these three categories

there are no other categories

this greatly helps to simplify matters when one is studying political economy, and having words such as communism and socialism thrown about as if they are some modern creation

which they are not

instead being quite readily identifiable as nothing more than *the monarchy without the king*, or, what i refer to as “regurgitated royalism”

in most people’s thinking *the ultimate governmental power* is considered to be found either in the person of the *monarch*, or in the people, as the *demos* (pronounced DAY-moss)

or in some mixture of the two, as in various forms of *republics*, and *aristocracies*, and *oligopolies*

because monarchy has been, by far, the most common form of governance, in one form or another, we shall begin there

but, while remembering that it is impossible that all rights flow from any one individual

the *real and actual power* understood as being the *collective power of the individual members* of the community, emanating from the mass of individuals, as *autonomous, sentient, beings*

in terms of ultimate power and authority, *the monarch is actually* at the bottom of the list, being *the least powerful of all*

because he is always, and only, but one person, even though claiming to have superior right, authority, and power, which, in actuality, it is impossible for him to have, except it be taken from others by force, and typically, while using much artifice and deceit toward the individual rights of the individual members of the community, and then to be *held by continued use of force and numerous pretensions*

the *hallmarks of every single tyranny* which has ever existed, or ever will exist, I expect

so, we are not *starting with monarchy*, because it is the most powerful, or because it contains the power from which ultimate authority emanates

but, instead, *because it has been, by far, the most common system in use*

the *history of monarchy* is much more interesting than one might, at first, expect

in the vast majority of cases a monarch is nothing more, or little more, than *a local warlord*, and *not just any warlord*

but, rather, *the most cunning and crafty, brutal, treacherous, murderous and ruthless warlord*

the warlord who can wipe out all competitors and potential competitors

in this regard, *the first monarchy*, which westerners know of, is that of *nimrod*, a builder of *shinar*, of old testament fame

nimrod was said to be ” *a hunter of men “*, and *the first to be so*

the *earliest known monarchies* are those found in various city states in that very land of *shinar*, known modernly, as *sumer*, *the first known civilization*

and *the progenitors of the ancient city state*, which was later to blossom, in full, as the roman city state/republic/empire

finally, after centuries of decay, following the so-called fall of the roman empire, *the ancient city state gave birth to the nation state* and to the *medieval italian city state republics*

the very first monarchs did not hold themselves out as gods, but, rather, *as shepherds*, with the mythological shepherd, *dumuzi, being the prototype* of all which was to follow, in both religion, as well as social and governmental institutions

rather than claiming to own all property on the earth, in their own persons, *these shepherd kings claimed to be the earthly representatives of the major deities of the sumerian pantheon*

a sky god

an earth god

a water god

and a goddess of fertility

earth, wind, water, and fire

this earliest known pantheon will provide the framework around which all else will find itself intertwined and interconnected, from then, and even until now

(often in most “unhealthy” ways)

it is interesting to note that, apparently, by the time of the existence of the Sumerian city states, someone or some group had figured out that having all of that power in the hands of one person, for too long, could spell trouble for all of the king’s constant co-conspirators

his court

his ministers

and his counselors

and far above all of the rest, *the shamanic priests*

apparently, rather murderous persons, especially when it comes to various different matters, which may be of “peculiar interest” to them

in fact, it could be said, and that, without exaggeration, that the *priests* are the ones actually *holding the power over life and death*, firmly within their grasp, and, that, *at any time potentially lethal for the king*

I have spoken, already of how the king needs the military, his counselors, and his priests

but, all can easily do without the king

he being both expendable, disposable, and easily replaced

not hardly the first to lose his kingdom in one hour,
you may rest assured

apparently, someone had thought these matters through, and that with a little bit of craftiness, I should add

because *the first shepherd kings could only reign seven years*, at which time they would be put to death, and *sent to be with inanna and dumuzi in the underworld*

all done with great ceremony, and fanfare, and festivities, and banquets, and feasts, but of course

it didn't take long for the shepherd kings to come back with a little bit of craftiness of their own

this came in the form of "*the substitute king*"

as the 7th year approached, a slave, or some other person, would be treated like a king for one year, ending at the time the king is supposed to be killed

when the time comes, the substitute king is killed, in place of the actual shepherd king

I am, here, telling this in order to give but one example of the incredibly dynamic tensions which were built right into the very fabric of these earliest institutions of governance and religion, from the very start

the king, for all the power he was supposed to have, was in fact, *subordinate to the power of the priests*

they having actual life or death power over the monarch and his or her family

it seems that *the earliest egyptians must have known something about the problems* which are created when one pretends to be the visible representative of some invisible deity

instead of claiming (while pretending) to be the earthly representative of some invisible shepherd priest, *they presented themselves as being the actual deity, himself, or herself*

the pharaoh was claimed to be the latest incarnation and manifestation of horus, the falcon headed god, son of isis and osiris

isis and osiris being, fundamentally, a fertility deity and a vegetation deity

osiris is the original “green man”

he, accordingly, often being depicted as having green skin

a brief look at the various stories and mythologies demonstrate that there are *numerous parallels and similarities between the stories of inanna and dumuzi, as compared with the very early egyptian cult beliefs and teachings concerning isis and osiris* (see the ruins of abydos, the city of osiris)

thus far, *monarchy* can be seen to have **originally claimed** that *their ultimate power resided in some unseen deity*, of the sumerian pantheon, or within the monarch, himself or herself, as an earthly manifestation or incarnation of an unseen egyptian deity, which is, typically, part of a primordial family of deities

and finally, the monarch tosses all of the pretence aside and claims power and authority to rule as a result of brutal force and subjugation, in the form of *the warlord of old*

“the law of the jungle”, ultimately having prevailed, as it already had, since nimrod first began his “*hunting of men*”

as civilizations progressed, through times, numerous variants of the basic components flowered and then waned

lots of god men

and sons of god

such that by the time of the classical greek empire of 400 b.c., men had had enough of all of these monarchical pretensions, as they began to develop a sort of counter-religion of their own, based on military-style self-sufficiency, in place of slavish obedience to some pretended god-man, or bloodiest of the local warlords

the king had again become more man than god, just as had happened in ancient sumer

the king is, once again, the steward for some invisible deity of their own tribal pantheons, which all claim to have existed from time immemorial

i.e. *the way it has always been*
and always will be

in the far eastern and middle eastern lands ***this concept of the “godman king”*** continued, pretty much unchanged, for many centuries

while, in the west, as has previously been mentioned, men looked to their kings as men, albeit, powerful men

accomplished men

men who were peculiarly blessed of god,
or the gods, or the god of battles
but, still men

the story is told of the slave who rides with the roman general as he parades around in his chariot during his triumphal return from successful battles and wars

during the triumphal procession, throughout the city, the slave is supposed to whip the general every so many minutes, while reminding him that he is not a god

that pretty well sums up the attitude of the day

this had all gone well until ***alexander the great*** became enmeshed in the ways of the bactrians (modern afghanistan) and the persians (modern iran)

for in these far off and distant lands the idea of *the king as godman was so central* to their way of thinking that they could not subject themselves to alexander unless he allowed them to worship him as a god

and hence was born *roman emperor worship*,
with its *roots in greek emperor worship*,
or more precisely, *bactrian alexander worship*

this is the system which continued to evolve until it took on the form in which it was found at the time of *divus julius, the deified caesar*, who had been *pontifex maximus*, the title which went from the roman pagans, to the bishop of rome, and then to the pope throughout the medieval times

it was THIS emperor worship which infected much of what was soon thereafter to follow in europe and surrounding areas

this mixing of eastern religious authority with western warlord powers can clearly be seen coming from *alexander*, and from him to the *julio-claudian emperors*, 350 years later

following the “collapse” of the western roman empire, these ideas continued to live on in the form of *papal infallibility*, and kings having *sacred blood*, somehow different from the rest of us

their success having much more to do with randomness than with any particular skill set on their part (see *Fooled by Randomness*, by *nassim taleb*), and even moreso, on the part of the descendants, who are almost always unworthy, because of being raised in a most privileged manner

this being the main reason most dynasties cannot last past three or four generations, as the kids and grandkids get weaker and dumber and more self-absorbed

this process continues, until they will lose all, in some harsh turn of fate, this being but one of numerous things which make most dynasties short lived

no adversity

= no character building

= heirs become pampered
and overly soft

ripe for the slaughter by ever-hungry warlike tribes and neighbors

because monarchies typically rest upon such shaky ground, it is essential that they let you know, nearly continuously, just how important the monarchy and the royal family are

they being so irrelevant, in “real world terms”, that, without their constant reminders, one would, rather quickly, altogether forget that they even exist

because that is just so far too easy to do, most monarchs will work hard to stay in the public spotlight so that they can spew (propagate) their latest self-serving narrative, which amounts to no more than this:

I am great, and am “*born to rule*”

I have tremendous religious authority

and I have tremendous worldly power

and I am better than you

YOU WILL OBEY !!

which all goes well enough, --- until --- it is examined too closely,
one time too many, and seen to be an utter fraud

this, but of course, makes the need for the continuous propagandizing
all the greater

so, as time passed, society and civilizations *moved from the godman, to the
man who is treated as if he is a god, and then, thanks to alexander,
and 350 years later, the deified julius caesar*, the european monarchies became
something of a curious conglomerative amalgamation of all of these beliefs

all pointing to the pretended fact that there is *something, somehow,
special* about this person of the monarch

the very office of monarch being shrouded with much mysticism and magical
thinking, I should add

so, as the monarchical system played out over time, and as all of the
numerous pretensions became harder and harder to disguise, or to justify,
the *numerous european monarchies*, heirs to the roman empire, *began to fall,
one after another*, like so many dominoes

this created a need for an entirely new system of governance

a system which was not monarchical “one man rule”, and in which there is no monarch, or monarchy, or even remaining vestiges of monarchy

as numerous persons considered this matter, over time, many settled on the idea of self-representative government as providing the answer to their needs

a form of government based upon “*social contract*”, as found in the *enlightenment* writings of *rousseau*, *locke*, and *mills*

a government whose foundation is based upon collective right to self defense, and defense of others, in pursuit of their “God-given”, inalienable (non-transferable) rights

a truly representative government

“by the people/citizens”

and

“for the people/citizens”

and

“of the people/citizens”

the citizens here, being in contradistinction to some royal figurehead

from these first beginnings, the ideas of self-representative government grew and evolved into what we shall, here, refer to as “*constitutionalism*”

people who gave a good deal of thought to such matters propounded ideas of forming a truly *self-representative government, based upon a written constitution*

and, hence, *modern constitutionalism was born*

once again, *attempting to answer that same, age old question*, which the early citizens of rome had been forced to ask, due to their immediate and pressing circumstances

i.e. *what do we do* if we don't have a monarch ?

or a monarchy ?

a republic, was the obvious answer, with the *roman republic*, and the *medieval italian city state republics* (e.g. venezia, firenze, genoa, sienna, and pisa), providing much needed historical precedents and models

this idea of having a written constitution was revolutionary at the time of the founding of this great country

because of the novelty of such ideas, *the doctrines of constitutionalism were ill-defined*, and in much need of definitions and practices by which one could make the idea of a self-representative, self-governing body of citizens, into an actual and ever-present reality

take especial note that this is not a matter of *monarchy versus democracy as its main contender, as so many would have it*

but, rather, it is monarchy versus constitutionalism, as the main contending replacement for monarchy

the *early americans* had chosen, following a great deal of deliberation and debate, to travel down a very different path, even as the early citizens of rome had, upon the overthrow of the etruscan kings, by forming a republic, with *a republican form of government*

because the party modernly calling itself “republican” has caused a great deal of confusion regarding the use of the word “republican”, I should remind the reader that *“republicanism” is the study of republics, and how they operate, and has nothing to do with modern parties and party practices, just to be sure*

in this regard, take note that the men who framed the U.S. Constitution *soundly rejected the idea of constituting their newly founded country as a democracy*

a good deal of thought and discussion had been given to the matter, by the drafters of the U.S. Constitution, who *considered “democracy” to be “mobocracy”*, or rule by the mob, aka “the street rabble”

the early colonists had taken note of how well that was *not working out for the french*, following their revolution in 1789

in this regard, *socialists and communists* (along with so-called *liberals and progressives*), *all just love to speak of “democracy”, as if these united states are such a thing, which they are not*

the U.S.A. is a republic, and a federated republic, at that;
not a democracy, but, rather, it is a constitutional republic;
a republican form of government based upon a written constitution

many would further specify that our republic is ***a democratic republic,***
there being a most definite distinction between democracy and democratic
republics, which often seems to get lost, upon many persons

the great object of political controversy, being not
left versus right,
or conservative versus liberal,
or democrat versus republican,
or black versus white,
but, instead,

monarchists and neo-monarchists
versus true republicans and constitutionalists

these are ***the only correct characterizations for how arguments*** regarding
issues of government and governance ought to be framed, especially after
having previously discovered that the terms most often used have no real
definitional meanings i.e. right/left, conservative/liberal, and democrat versus
republican all being, as a matter of actual fact, ***false dichotomies***

the ***sophists*** intentionally imply, by use of each of these words, that only two
choices actually exist, and you are stuck with choosing the one or the other,
and that one alone

false choices

false dichotomy

before continuing further I would like to touch upon several subjects which are necessary for understanding, more fully, what I am, here, bringing to your attention, and for your consideration

the first thing that I would, here, like to emphasize, is that, when scrutinized just a little bit more closely than usually is done, it can be seen that in terms of modern political considerations, in spite of all appearances and beliefs to the contrary, modernly, ***there actually are only two contending political systems***

two, and no more

all of the other fine distinctions in meaning having little or nothing to do with the true state of actual facts

the first is the ***monarchies***, which have remained in an ascendancy for centuries at a time, in many different parts of this world

the ***main contending system*** is that of republicanism, or, ***constitutional republicanism***

that is to say those who espouse the system of ***constitutional republics*** versus those who espouse either ***monarchy*** or neo-monarchy (a.k.a. disguised monarchist)

republic versus monarchy

monarchy is the way of the past

as a political system it ended in utter failure, during and following world war I, “the great war”, as it was known at the time

most men chose various forms of republican governments to replace their utterly corrupted and failed monarchies

and, here, is where we need to take a fresh look at several words

specifically,

absolutism

royal absolutism

totalitarianism

and *authoritarianism*

note that all of these words have their roots and origins in monarchism

most kings and queens, if they are able to get away with it, are almost sure to claim absolute power over their lands and subjects

some claim this by right of ownership

others by right of conquest

others by claiming to have sacred blood

if one stops to think about it, for just one moment, one will realize that, in a system of actual representative government, truly “of the people”, “by the people”, and “for the people”, there would be no need or desire for any kind of absolutism

why?

because it is antithetical to *real and honest consensus building*, which is required, if one is *to persuade others to agree*, on any given matter, so they may be in actual agreement *at the time of actual voting*

when the power emanates from the people, they have no need to claim that any one man or group of men has total power over any single person or member of the group

it is inimical to the very liberty and personal autonomy for which their own forefathers fought so hard

as a brief review, we have previously observed, as regards the ideas of left and right, that there is one scale, beginning with complete personal autonomy, on the one end of the scale, and from there, extending into more and more limited degrees of autonomy, with the far other end of the scale representing monarchy i.e. *one man rule*

a scale ranging from complete personal autonomy, to a complete lack of personal autonomy

typically the scale will be laid out in an horizontal fashion

on the *one end* will be *unfettered freedom*, which we will put on the left end of our imaginary scale

as we move from the left side toward the right side of the scale, notice that we are moving through various degrees and gradations of *personal autonomy*, *mixed with other forms* of governance

on the far right end of this scale will be *total loss of freedom*, remembering that the *first men and women*, during the times of development of these varying forms, were living with as *complete personal autonomy* as is possible on this earth, or, to put it another way, *complete personal autonomy PRECEDED all other forms of governance and government*, something which seems to be all too readily forgotten

there is a reason why something known to the founding fathers as the *POSSE COMITATUS*, pre-existed, and why people responded to “*the hue and cry*”, as a matter of societal-wide obligation, for many centuries prior to this

these also *preceding*, by many centuries, the numerous so-called *governments* of later times, which were then, yet to come

as thinking progressed over time, the ancients divided the various different forms of government and governance into three major classes

they were:

monarchy,
mixed government
and democracy

all knew well about *monarchical systems*

democracy, in contrast, was thought of as the ancient system of government in *athens*, during the *5th century* b.c., being what many refer to as “*pure*” *democracy* (even though it was anything but, in terms of realpolitik)

mixed government, was a way of describing *constitutional republics*, such as the medieval italian city-state republics, as well as ancient rome, itself

as time went on and the united states of america was created and instituted as “*the Great American Experiment*”, the various forms of government began to narrow, rapidly, and forcefully

finally, leaving only *monarchy*, with its ever present cousins of *neo-monarchy* and *disguised neo-monarchy*, to be the sole contenders with *constitutional republicanism*

notice how the “*pure*” *democracy* has altogether *disappeared*, except in some swiss cantons, leaving only *mixed government* and *monarchy* as the *two main systems* to be contrasted with one another

modernly, mixed government, itself, began to become somewhat homogenous

most modern nation states looking like exact duplicate clones of one another in many respects, as a result of historical processes and circumstances

such that, as i write this, most people could well be said to think in terms of
kings and queens
or democratic republics
as being
the only two existing forms of government

many often speak of democracy, that is to say, they use the word, but they have no idea what it is, or, what it means in historical terms and contexts

as it turns out, it is not so much a matter of conservative versus liberal, or right versus left, or republicans versus democrats, but, instead, for our purposes, modernly, there really are only two major contending systems

they are *monarchy*
and *constitutional republicanism*

these *two* systems, seem, indeed, to be the *great dividing lines*, around which persons and parties will tend to revolve and coalesce

while one person will call themselves by one name, and another by another name, no matter what name they choose to use, it will be seen to be readily definable in terms of one of these two categories

but, before looking closer and beginning to compare systems with one another, I will attempt to define, with more exactness, *what I mean by monarchical systems of governance*

this is because, upon closer inspection, it can be seen that *many systems which pass as being modern innovations*, such as, for example, *communism, fascism,* and *nazism*, are little, or nothing more than, thinly-disguised royalism, which I refer to as *neo-royalism*

I should add that this is probably *the single most common form of attempted restoration of monarchs to a throne*

a throne which will never be theirs again

they just not yet having figured out that such truly is the case

yesteryear trying, hard, to pretend to be tomorrow,
just about sums them up, along with all that they do

that damn procession of history, with, or without them

WHAT IS MONARCHY ?

most people will instantly think of kings and queens and dynasties

what they will not think about is what underlies every successful monarch

another way of saying that *the king is only as good as his administrative staff*

it goes by many different names:

the king's bureaucracy

the king's court

the king's ministers

and counselors

the king's bishops and priests

and nuns, to name but a few

so, let's stop for just one moment, in order to *make another thought experiment*, which will, hopefully, help to better elucidate this matter of just what, exactly, is monarchy

everybody realizes that *the monarch is only one single person*

most persons will think of the monarch, as being the monarchy, itself

yet, in my *thought experiment*, I will cause the king to be, altogether, removed from material existence

can it not be said, with a large degree of accuracy, and certainty, that even though the monarch is nowhere to be seen or found,

yet, there remains *something*, completely apart from, and indeed, removed from, the individual who was, supposedly, sitting at the pinnacle/apex of an huge administrative apparatus; at the very top of an extremely hierarchical structure ?

oddly enough, it would seem that top-down management continues to proceed about its daily business, without the person at the top, the monarch, even continuing to exist, at all

it is this *something*, which remains aside from and apart from the person of the monarch, himself, or herself, to which I am here making reference to as *the monarchy*

yes, something which has its own existence, completely apart from, and indeed, even separate from, the existence of the monarch

the monarchy

I'll bet you never stopped to think about the ramifications of this fact, that *the king needs his ministers, but the ministers do not, in fact, need the monarch, just the monarchy*

this is NOT a reciprocal relationship, although it is presented as such, every single day during the existence of any given monarchy

rather, *it is a dark and well kept secret,* which the king would just as soon the peasants, and serfs, and wage slaves not know about

note that when the monarch is removed from the monarchy, the monarchy, itself, actually remains

yes,

monarchies without the monarch

that incredible *invention of the french revolution*, which was *brought to perfection during the entire 20th century*, and the cause of wars too numerous to count, and that, with misery, untold

when I speak of *monarchists*, it is to these *worshippers of "the state"* to whom I refer, along with their worship (overtly or covertly) of the god of force; mars, as god of war, along with similar war "gods of old", e.g. thor, jupiter, athena pallas, ningirsu, etc., etc.

the last truly great economist, ludwig von mises, well named this cultic set of beliefs, "*etatism*", which, being loosely translated, means "*stateism*" or *statism*

worshippers of the state, and of raw, naked, brutal force, and power, and subjugation

social darwinism run totally amuck; *law of the jungle, replacing the social compact* and the social charter/contract, upon which western civilization can truly be said to be anchored, and dependent upon, as an foundational underpinning of our most fundamental understanding of written constitutions and, indeed, of constitutionalism, itself

now, the hallmark of the monarchy can be truly said to be concentrated power

the intent being to *concentrate all power into the hands of one individual*; think caligula and nero

all decision-making power and authority, resting in the hands of one person, ***requiring much artifice and pretense***, to pretend that any one individual is truly able to do all that actually needs to be done at any given time

for example,

what if the king gets sick ?

or

just doesn't want to be king anymore ?

indeed, what now ?

it's a good thing the monarch isn't actually necessary to the upholding and maintaining of the monarchy, yes ?

while examining any given party or political system, or movement, ***one must continuously bear in mind the foundation*** upon which all of these variants of monarchism can be said to rest

that foundation would be ***the feudal economy***

accordingly, before proceeding further, we need to take a brief look at the ***concept of feudalism***, the not-too-distant cousin of ***neo-royalism***, and ***neo-monarchism***

first, we will take a look at the system of feudalism, itself, while remembering that it has ***deep historical roots***

here, during the gradual disintegration of the western roman empire, various governors of various roman provinces each took control of the province or colony which they had been administering, prior to the loss of control by the city of rome

it was these various “administrative units” which were to become the countries and nation states of modern western europe

basically, the roman empire had become, or had turned into, *a multitude of little “kinglets”*, many being nothing more than local or regional warlords, all but for a moment

as the previous colonies and provinces broke off from rome, each now had the same problem which the early romans had been forced to deal with i.e. how to administer large tracts of land without a king, or his bureaucracy

due to historical circumstances, the previously existing “*roman empire way of doing things*” began, quickly, to change, in order to deal with the newly existing circumstances of having the entire mediterranean basin broken up into numerous autonomous smaller units of governance

the *local or regional warlord* became the person around which *most persons would tend to gravitate towards* in times of material need and times when physical assistance would be required in order to repel force from neighboring warlords and their very numerous retainers

over time, the idea of *fealty* was developed, giving legal recognition to this relationship between a lord and his serf, as feudal institutions continued to develop over centuries

by means of a special “rite of enfeoffment”, the serf would swear his loyalty to the lord of the domain (the lord of the demesne)

the serf would act as a servant to the lord, and, as such was considered to be “*appurtenant to the land*”

like a house or some other structure, which is attached to the land, the serf is considered to be chattel, or, personal property, which stays with the land, like trees and fences and barns [see *U.S. supreme court case of Dred Scott vs Sanford (1857)*, wherein U.S. slaves were found to be chattel, on the basis of this very same actual history of feudalism, *wherein white persons were treated as chattel slaves, long before the U.S., or the atlantic slave trade, ever even existed*]

in trade for this, the lord of the demesne would provide a plot of land for his serf/subject, and would provide protection for the serf and for his immediate family

here, one can easily see and recognize the “bare-bones outline” of the previously existing, and in fact, quite ancient, ***roman patronage system***, whereby the one with the wealth basically pays for the services of persons with less material abundance

the ones without wealth desire a person with wealth, power, and influence to look out for them and their loved ones, feudal obligations, being, in legal theory, a reciprocal arrangement; a quid pro quo i.e. something for something

this system of lord or baron with his landed estate, covered with industrious serfs from which to provide a private army, became commonplace for centuries

it is *this* system which has come to be known as *feudalism*

this system of land management was so successful that it continued, unchanged, for many centuries

it likely would have continued onward for many more centuries except for the advent of the *industrial revolution* and its corresponding *modern industrialized nation state* and the *modern industrial society*

this is where *things become/became strange*, because, while the concept of serf attached to the land worked great on a large landed estate, it was quickly felt to be “not so good”, when it came to *movable global capital*

that’s right, now, the factories and equipment can be moved, while the people who are operating the machines will need to be moved, right along with all of the rest

the *concept of movable capital* having now fully come of age, in a way which it never could be said to have at any other time

the *serf, on a landed estate, versus the free laborer*, working for a huge business concern, typically with one or more factories

ancient agriculturalism versus modern industrialization

these were now to become *two great*, and hitherto little-known, *dividing lines*, becoming highly visible from the beginning of the first industrial revolution, with eli whitney and his cotton gin, and with the concept of the division of labor on a factory production line; *HUGE! fault lines*, whose presence would now be felt often and dramatically, during the following centuries, and from then up until right now

as many modern countries would soon be learning, the *agrarian society can be easily overrun and taken over by neighbors who have the machines of mechanized warfare*, to which reference has already been made

now, there was not only a dynamic tension between those with machines of warfare, and those without, but there was now added to that, the *necessity of expediency*

an arms race, as an institutional norm, had now also fully come of age

along with the *militarization of the entire industrialized world landscape*

so now, as the *ancient landed agricultural plots of land became “easy pickings”* for neighboring industrial societies (think hitler’s blitzkriegs), in addition, there would, from now on and forward, be a sort of *perpetual arms race, built right into the very fabric* of this ever changing series of social arrangements

welcome to the modern industrialized warfare state

now, as can readily be imagined, as the societal structures began to change in response to the economic and political realities, so, the institutional structures must be made to conform with the newly realized changes

but, social institutions (and religions) tend to be very conservative, they tend to resist change; they are suspicious of new innovations; qualities of character which may work well on a small farm, but which are of more questionable value when it comes to building the most sophisticated weapons and weapons delivery systems just as quickly as is humanly possible

whereby a gigantic chasm was, now, opening up into a full blown rupture

it was out of this curious mixture of agrarianism and the modern industrial war machine that *the need for a new way of thinking had become somewhat acute*

and hence, *the need for a modern concept of neo-feudalism*

what was needed, was *a new form of feudalism*

an industrial feudalism, to exist alongside the remains of the ancient landed estates, with their attendant feudalistic practices and concepts

an industrial feudalism, complete with industrial fiefdoms, wherein the landed estate is replaced by *“the company town”*, and the serf, who was attached to the land, is now replaced by *the wage slave*

and *the wage slavery* itself *continued to grow and grow*, as a system, until it had taken on a bizarre *“frankensteinian” nature*, which quickly morphed into so-called *labor movements*, and from there, *leading, almost inexorably, to communism*, along with its ever-constant/ever present companion, state socialism

the one (communist international) being international, while the other (socialists) is only regional, while otherwise being *one and the same thing*

philosophies and beliefs are exact duplicates of one another

it being the actual practices and organizational paradigms which are different from one another, and little else

both are forms of totalitarianism, as well as being forms of extreme authoritarianism

“you WILL obey”

the serf/wage slave having become little more than one more commodity, in a huge “pleasure faire”

to be bought and sold at will

nearly always to the lowest bidder, when it comes to the perpetual wage slave’s payment for “labors rendered”

it is *from this bizarre accretion* and conglomeration of two entirely different and opposing systems and worldviews, which has given rise to so much seemingly perpetual misery and suffering, modernly, and anciently

as first one group of utopians is soon enough followed by another set of would-be social engineers, and yet another, and more, all pretending to know how to solve these problems, which they have, in fact, never even been aware of before this time, being entirely unable to understand it, to begin with

the real problem is that the feudal lords liked their ancient regime

while the modern industrial laborers, could be said, without exaggeration, to despise it

this will most definitely cause a very dynamic and ongoing tension to persist, almost perpetually

in a kind of “balance which is out of balance”

continuously teetering, first one way, and then the next, and then again, in yet another direction

unstable as can be, and that, necessarily so

ouch!!

so, now that I have prepared the way, by use of background materials, I shall continue

following *the first industrial revolution* the world began to break up into *two major groupings*

the one was based upon *agrarian economy*, while the other was based upon *industrial production* i.e. who can build better and more innovative machines, and, in particular, who can build the technologically superior army

of course, many of the earliest innovations were directed toward food production, which resulted in *a sort of hybridization* between agriculture economy and industrial economy

up until this point in time the feudal concepts of patronage and fealty had worked just fine as replicatable forms of social organization

but, now, with the *advent of movable production lines, a new form of social organization was needed*, and the need was becoming more and more acute over time

up until now the entire feudal system was based upon the land and landed estates

the underlying value of the land was largely determined by what was, naturally, found upon the land, for example, a mine or a critical water supply

there was little to nothing which could be done on any given tract of land which would not be dependent upon the natural features found upon any given piece of land

this concept of movable machines and factories, and hence, of global movable capital, turned all of the previously well established and well understood methods and systems upside down

this was, indeed, a “*brave new world*”

many pieces of land, which were before of little value, now became of much higher value, thanks to its potential use as a factory setting, or a “factory town” setting

many otherwise bare patches of useless land can now be transformed into industrialized cities, causing the previously worthless land to now become something which everyone wants a piece of

in terms of warfare, *the industrialized militaristic state* found itself able to project power ever further and further afield

this all meant that new relationships were being created, as various groups of men got better and better at harnessing all of this new found power

for good
or for evil

where for centuries it was who owned the most productive land which determined how much material wealth a person could be said to have accumulated during a lifetime, now, that had all changed

while a good deal of wealth still existed in the ancient forms of land ownership, there was now a major new contender, ever present, and seemingly growing non-stop

what was needed was a way to replace the prior existing land ownership system, while simultaneously replacing the serfs, who were, previously, considered to be a part of the land, itself

the factory and factory town became the replacement for the landed estate

because the factory is movable, it will be required that the serfs on the land, also be able to be movable, so that they may move, along with the factory, whenever that will be required

serfs, attached to the land, would now be replaced by
an army of movable labor

so that, *just as the factory and factory town have taken the place of the landed estate*, so, also, *the serfs*, previously attached to the land, will now *become an army of “wage slaves”*

the serfs now finding themselves without any reciprocal rights,
as they would have had under the pre-existing feudal system

one cannot help but think, in this regard, of how the *american plantation owners got all of their duties and obligations*, as slaveholders (which reciprocal obligations were, previously, widely recognized at law) *extinguished*, by ending the odious institution of slavery

once the slaves were freed, they now found themselves, quite unexpectedly, with no one to look out for them, to feed and clothe them, or to take care of their medical needs

of course, this was *a huge savings* for the heretofore owners of slaves but, even better yet, from their rather perverse point of view, *the previous slaveholders now have a gigantic pool of super cheap labor*

one could even call it slave labor, as it has been called many different times, and in many different contexts

no slaves, with no corresponding attendant rights, duties, and obligations owed to the former slaves

no slaves,
but still have a huge pool of slave labor

no costs of maintaining the slaves,
but can still use them for what can only be said to be
the equivalence of *slave labor*

no more slavery, but still got plenty of slaves

(***both white and black***, I should note; i.e. don't forget the mostly *caucasian serfs were slaves, attached to the land, for many centuries, long before the southern plantation slaves ever even existed, as such*)

only now, ***they have changed from plantation slaves to become what I refer to as wage slaves***

what does this mean ?

--- wage slavery

it is a reference to the method by which the slave owners were able to keep their game going, of oppressing their fellow humans, while profiting greatly from them

it was "the grand solution", which was settled upon, to solve any number of the problems which we have been discussing so far

give the laborer only as little as possible to keep him or her from starving to death, and no more

while noting that, herein, lie the ***true purposes of*** the dark arts, which pretend to be ***economic science***, which is to make continuous adjustments, in order to continuously siphon off the laborer's fruits

a ***truly parasitical*** relationship

parasite eats too much, it consumes the host

here, the parasite, with the aid of mathematics and university systems, continuously takes measurements, to get as much as the parasite can from the host organism, while keeping it just barely alive, to feast on

and, right here could be said to be *the single, one great problem*,
which overshadows all others

the system, as the *would-be slave masters* would have it,
is, *inherently parasitical*

the relationship between *those pretending* to have the right to subject
and rule their fellows, and those who they are continuously trying to
bring into subjugation, is that of a host organism with a huge parasite
attached to it

as the parasite begins to consume too much of the host's nutrition,
the host begins to die

hence, evil men, by means of the (pretended) university system, have made
something of a pseudoscience out of this relationship, whereby they pretend, by
means of obtuse and abstract mathematical *pretended modeling*,
to be able to tell just how much substance the parasite can suck out of its host,
before killing the host

as the host organism i.e. "the people", becomes more profitable,
an entire "university" - created army of *pretended experts* will diligently study
how to get as much as possible of this increase in productivity away from the
host organism and to themselves, the attached parasite

this can be seen in the housing market

I asked, many years ago, how is it even possible that every man does not own and possess his or her own home ?

it seems entirely unbelievable that a people would constitute themselves as a government, in order to deny affordable housing to one another

robber barons, “yes”

fellow would-be homeowners, “no”

so, who is it exactly that can and will see to it that most persons are renters, instead of homeowners ?

that being a good example of “*institutionalized parasitism*”, if ever there was don’t think so ?

well then, let’s take a closer look at this matter of “*institutionalized renting*”

why do people rent ? instead of buying ?

because they can afford the one, but not the other, is almost sure to be the answer in the vast majority of cases

but --- how can this be so ? during long periods of time ?

given that housing is at the top of the list of essential needs i.e. food, clothing, and shelter, marketable skill set, education sufficient to gain and maintain said skill sets

that is to say, it is one of the top priorities required for basic survival

so ---

why doesn't each and every individual build a small and affordable house ?

they can

they are able to

they possess the requisite skill and abilities

but ---

they are not allowed to

so, how, exactly, does that work ?

building codes

zoning laws and ordinances

setback restrictions

who controls the making of said laws ?

host ?

or parasite ?

who benefits most from this arrangement ?

host or parasite ?

this reminds me of when I was in my late teens I dreamed of building affordable housing

I wondered that so little existed after so many years of continuous occupation in the same geographical area and with so much raw land available

then, when I was in law school, during the late 1980s,
I (providentially ?) happened onto a large two volume treatise titled
“Housing For All Under Law”

the two volumes were quite thick, as I noticed that the publisher was the american bar association, meaning it should be comprehensive, and at least, semi-authoritative

upon first seeing the two extra thick volumes, and the title, and the publisher, I at first thought that I had hit “the mother lode” of legal how-to, in this case, how to build affordable housing

page after page I read in utter dismay

I will never forget sitting down and beginning to read this multi-volume treatise

I was reminded of that book, left behind by an interstellar traveler in a Twilight Zone episode, the book titled “*to serve man*”, which turned out to be a cookbook

same here

the subject matter of my multi-volume treatise being, not, how to build housing, but, instead, well over 1000 pages of fine print, telling all of the reasons you will not be able to build housing for all, by use of myriad legal tricks

all created by the parasites, to see to it that a person like myself is so discouraged by how many hurdles exist, to block me from using my own property as land to build a home on, for myself and my own immediate family, that I will, rightly, give up before I even begin

*when left to my own devices, I can assure you,
I would build a house to live in*

instead, the parasitical class has created this entire and very large system, designed *with no other purpose, than to deny "the wage slave" the ability to buy housing, or to build housing*, and that, without having to be suffocating in extortionate debt for the next 30 to 50 years

these systems are wickedly ingenious, and there are so many of them that it quite overwhelms your mind as you look at them all

again --- one must ask oneself, *cui bono ?* who benefits ?

wage slaves
or rentiers ?

host,
or parasite ?

without which the host would be, undeniably,
far better off

here, it can readily be seen that *the renter is never going to be an owner, and that, by design*

there are, effectively, only *two different categories of persons* involved here

one is the *property owner*, while the other is the *property renter*

if all of the renters were to become owners, then the current owners could no longer gain from the sweat and toil of laborers, who are forced to rent, instead of buying

so, the one will exist in *a parasitical arrangement*, ever profiting, while numerous others will be forced to live *in a perpetual state of poverty, which is being caused by, this very institutionalized arrangement*

the property owner will never put his investment capital to more productive use, so long as he is able to live, quite comfortably, I should add, at the expense of his fellows

perpetually frozen capital, with a complete *lack of upward mobility*

is it just me ? or doesn't this sound an awful lot like the end result of the feudalistic economy i.e. less than 1% of people own all property, while the other 99% are reduced to owning nothing, or as close thereto as possible ?

“now, wait just a minute”, I should hear you saying to yourself

haven't we seen this model of most material wealth concentrated into the hands of a few pretended “illuminated ones”, that is to say, “the all are equal, but some are more equal than others”, crowd, no less ?

so, let's stop again, for just one moment, to analyze

for purposes of our hypothetical, we will *imagine that there are only two actual forms of governance*, underlying all of the varieties which seem to exist

it is either a *monarchical* form of government, consisting of highly concentrated power, with individual property ownership being kept to a minimum

or, it is a constitutional republic with self representation

self-representation being in contradistinction to monarchical forms of government

it conceptualizes *power* as *coming from numerous individuals*, who have combined their numerous individual powers so that they may *act as if the many are one*

in this system, *individual rights are paramount*, and individual *personal autonomy* is considered to be the real and actual *source of* whatever governmental *powers* can be said to exist

the monarch, in contrast, claims himself or herself to be the fount of all power

because such a claim is patently absurd on its face, the *king or queen is always forced into pretending* that they are no ordinary human and that they have no ordinary blood, but are as some sort of demigod

the most plain *example* being the claim by british royals that they carry “*the blood of woden*” in their “royal” veins

a very strange claim, indeed, given that woden is a member of the anglo-saxon pantheon, and, as such, can be said to be a mythological character
i.e. does not exist, in reality

but, in the world of these would-be kings and queens such things do not really matter

truth and reality do not matter, here

only the effect which such “silly talk” has on the more credulous amongst them

they *will create priesthoods*, and *secret societies*,
where they dress up in *ridiculous costumes*,
and *tell each other how (self) important they “truly” are*,
as individuals and as a group, and then tell each other how *much more important* they all are *than their peers*, with whom they derisively pretend to have been *forced to live amongst*

yes, the monarchist is forced to deceive, and rule by subterfuge, as a manner and way of life

hardly the kind of person you would want to have as your fiduciary

and *with avarice beyond belief*

with *insatiable appetites* for the fruits of other people’s labors

the ultimate parasite

another way to look at it would be to contrast constitutionalism, originating in self-governance, alongside monarchism

now, the reason for this, is so that you, dear reader, may *become adept at recognizing finely camouflaged monarchism and royalism, while also more fully understanding that monarchism can take numerous different forms in order to camouflage itself*, if and when needed

the people in rome at the time of *augustus* were not the first people to hate monarchy and monarchism so much that any would-be monarchs had to nearly continuously disguise their true intention of total subjugation

this, being the province of propaganda, and so-called public relations

notice that the *hallmark of monarchism*, and of most despotisms, is *deceit* of the people over whom any man or woman claims to hold power

it is such a telltale that *one can probably, and to a large extent, tell which systems of governance are actually constituted, fundamentally, in the will and consent of the governed, by the amounts and types of propaganda techniques which are most commonly used by them, and the size of their propaganda/public relations budget*

think for just one moment

why would any person, or group of persons, keep having to tell, over and over again, how great they are, if, in fact, they truly are great ?

as an *example of propaganda being used to overcome plain common sense*, take the issue of *post-partum abortion*

all one needs in order to be entirely opposed is to see the actual thing

by any name you want to call it, and no matter what self-justification is claimed in support of it, as for all persons of conscience, to see it is to hate it

pure and simple

so, how does such an abhorrent practice as downright infanticide of 20,000,000 unborn and partially born little babies continue to take place, in spite of the inherent repulsiveness of it ?

answer:

sales literature

lobbyists

lots of hustling

university \$\$ (taxpayers)

grant \$\$ (taxpayers)

(all coming from federal income tax and federal reserve)

accompanied by a nearly continuous drumbeat and barrage of misinformation and disinformation, all designed to confuse and to further obfuscate

thereby *causing a paralysis*, or semi-paralysis, which comes from *strong cognitive dissonance*

like the helpless little girl telling herself that all is well, as she is multiply, and violently gang raped, or the person with stockholm syndrome, who now reveres their oppressor, because he or she did not murder her or him in cold blood

cognitive dissonance ***gives rise to inaction***

exactly as desired by the would-be manipulator

the inability to protect oneself, when one should be fully cognizant of, and aware of, the immediate threat, and the immediate need for decisive action

it is as the deer, frozen in the headlights

unable to distinguish, and hence, unable to decide upon the most immediate and necessary course of action

should have run

could have run

but, did not, due to confusion brought on by too many conflicting and confusing signals

the human predator can spot these about-to-be victims of serious and heinous crimes, from far away

their very indecisiveness having an attraction for one who has violent sexual assault on his mind

fight/flight ?
or --- submission

caused by nearly continuous conditioning, telling you how worthless
and helpless you are

that's bad enough

***but what about when there is one large group of “monopolistic media
dinosaur outlets” who are using these psychological facts for the purpose of
politically manipulating and brainwashing large groups of persons ?***

victims in waiting, where the predator is concerned

all are helpless, and none are able to protect even their own women and
children, much less, one another

stop for just one moment and think about that

and let it sink in

what a sad state of affairs I have just described, and no less, have witnessed every single day, during these past three months, as our **constitution** was, quite simply, ***ripped up, and shredded, and eviscerated***, and then ***simply tossed aside***, based upon the flimsiest of excuses and pretexts, here, claiming that covid 19 is legally ***analogous to an outbreak of smallpox in a small village town during the 1800s, which is ridiculous on its face, and most certainly nowhere near being analogous***

pseudoscience and junk science having become a religion, with a greatly diminished U.S. supreme court acting like “voodoo priests” and priestesses, altogether lacking discernment

a cult of pretended science

the ***pseudo-science of epidemiology*** is presented as being *all-knowing and all-seeing*

being nothing more than ***a university created scam***, coming from numerous pretended institutions of (pretended) higher learning, but better known “on the street” as ***“diploma mills”***

there actually being no such thing as “the science of epidemiology”, in terms of real and actual science

how so ?, I can hear you asking yourself

observable
measurable
quantifiable
experimentally repeatable

now, here comes “the kicker”

effects of plagues are observable, measurable, and quantifiable, to some extent, long after the facts, but, that, while having no predictive power, whatsoever

NONE are experimentally repeatable

as regards plagues and pandemics, because observation, measurement, and quantification are only useful after the fact
= *is analogous to counting beans*, or counting clamshells

one is *observing a truly random process*, after the fact

*the most important point being, once again,
that it is without any predictive power, whatsoever*

just because there are seven piles of beans on the table,
each containing 25 beans, *doesn't really tell* me a whole lot about
how many beans are, or are not, reasonably likely to be found on the table
10 hours from now, or 25 days from now, or, how many piles were on the table
3 hours ago, *or 3 days ago, or 3 years ago*

one cannot prove any given assertion

and *one cannot disprove* any given assertion,
until well after the fact,
if ever

in the present case, one must *NEVER! forget how the supposedly “scientific” “fact based” numbers went wildly from a very certain projection of 2.2 million deaths*, within a matter of weeks, which, in fact, ended up being *closer to 100,000 deaths* (as of time of this writing in June 2020)

that is *a tenfold difference/error*

GIGO = garbage in, garbage out

yet, people continue to listen to these quacks who pretend to be experts on all things plague

a wild guess, or throwing a dart at a dartboard, would likely have gotten you closer to the true numbers, than all of these very expensive computer simulations and models

a Sham

a Scam

imagine if you operated the large hadron collider at CERN in the loose way these people operate, *using entire populations of human beings for their very own huge human social engineering experiments*, in a sort of “*mother knows best*” way of doing things, even though mother is anything but knowing best

all will NOT go well, would be my guess

“here, try this amount”

“no, turn it off”

“no, turn it on”

“no, turn it up 1000 fold”

“no, make that 10,000 fold”

--- “look out” ---

--- “ruuuuuun” ---

and they are calling this science, and fact-driven

with the intended purpose of justifying locking down an entire population of hundreds of millions of non-consenting adult persons, who are provably being lied to on a continuous basis

what is wrong with this picture ?

if it is not science, then what ?

it is pretence and artifice

designed to impress ignorant school children, and good for little else

PTA moms run amuck

and that, *for what purpose ?*

to lock you up, under house arrest, and demand to stick hypodermic needles in every single person, and take blood samples from all (for ccp organ harvesting body part DNA and blood type database matching), and so that blm,

and antifa, and ms13 can take over and control your streets, while you are locked up by your local police, who refuse to do anything about all of the brazen rioting and looting, because of pretended “endemic racism”, all of the endemic racism coming from those crying “racist” the loudest, I should add

the police *stand down*
” because they were ***just following orders*** “

which of course, is the notorious *nuremberg defense*
= is no defense

as regards all of this pretended moral outrage, never forget why the *U.S. marines were created by president thomas jefferson, to stop the many centuries long depredations of the very black and very islamic barbary pirates of north africa*

and, never forget the centuries of islamic slave trading of caucasians, which continues, even to this day, with many a harrowing tale having been written over centuries

and never forget that white people did not hunt down slaves

black people did

and then brought them to the places like the ivory coast, to sell them to anyone, no matter what race, who wanted to buy them

the slave trade in africa was run by blacks, long before caucasians ever even arrived there

a societal breakdown using fake medical concerns as justification for the most draconian measures possible, while a greatly diminished supreme court, and all others who are paid much money to protect us from such predatory behavior, just sit idly by, while simply doing nothing to put a stop to all of this lawlessness, or even to reign it in ---

rather, they all join in, while claiming to be unable to see any wrongdoing

looking for all the world like a pack of hyenas moving in to tear their latest prey into pieces

only, in this case, *“the prey”*, which they are so happily tearing to shreds, is the very constitution, which they have repeatedly, and that, with outwardly apparent earnesty, taken an oath to uphold, apparently while never intending to “deliver the goods”

but while being all too happy to keep the job, with overly liberal benefits, and take the pay

the citizens of this once proud and mighty republic having fully discovered that they can vote the treasury to themselves

in fulfillment of that famous proverb,
to wit:

“a republic will only last until the citizens realize that they can vote the treasury to themselves”

think we are there, yet ?
these *“people”* are a sickness

thus far I have showed that *monarchism* was, for many centuries, the *predominant* form of governance

republics and democracies were rare, indeed

as an example, there were the italian city state *republics*, during the medieval times, and there was *athenian democracy* of 400 b.c., and *swiss democracy*, starting in the 13th century

there may be others, but, none comes readily to mind, as I am writing this, here and now

there were numerous kinds of so-called “*mixed governments*” throughout the centuries

in addition, the *english developed* a form of *parliamentary government*, as a *baronial check on the monarch*, during the 15th and 16th centuries, which also became a popularly used form throughout western continental europe during the middle ages

the *age of mechanization* can be said to have created *something*, which in many respects, was *brand new*

suddenly, and seemingly *instantaneously*, *technological advancement* had become a *matter of life or death* for numerous monarchs, and nation states, as well

a very real turning point had been reached

those holding raw power, as monarchs, were now confronted with what was to become the monarch's mortal enemy, from that time, until now

change

innovation

progress

competition

new ideas

new ways of doing things

yes, but you never really gave the matter much thought, but *monarchs and monarchists like the way things are*

as do monopolists, in general, and *big tech monopolists*, in particular

they are anti-progress, both by need, and by design

same with whatever ungodly false religion/s that manage to align themselves with, and attach themselves to, the monarch

remember, just as rape is always associated with rioting and looting (think seattle chop/chaz), as in

” rioting

looting

and rape “

so, here, the monarch will nearly always be found associated with a cult/religion in support of the monarch, and a standing army/praetorian guard

as in:

monarch

army/military

religion/priestcraft

it's almost like some kind of a sacred triad, and, that, nearly 100% of the time

so, *as the industrial revolution advanced, and modern industrialized warfare statism was created, nurtured, and perfected*, things began to seriously unravel

along with the highly mechanized *U.S. civil war in 1860*, the craft of mass mechanized death was perfected, during the *crimean war* and the *sino-russian war* and the *franco-prussian war*, and finally the *three balkan wars*, leading directly to the assassination of the habsburg duke, by serbians, which paved the way for actual boots hitting the ground, six months later

as the *“war to end all wars” delivered mechanized death and slaughter (to the “plebs” from the “patricians”)* on a scale heretofore considered to be unimaginable

something right out of a 19th century science fiction/fantasy/horror novel

now, dear reader, let us stop here for just one moment, to *consider the greater ramifications* of what I am, here, telling you

we are standing on the dividing line

and in terms of history, a highly unusual, and *rather stark*
“ *line of demarcation* ”, as a bright line in the history books

on a level with
Nimrod
Sargon I
Alexander
Julius Caesar
and Napoleon

one era existing before them, with another, entirely *different era,*
following them, because of them

as Bach,
Mozart
Beethoven
and Chopin

are to the development of keyboard music, so these left rather profound
imprints upon the world around them, also

but, what is just about to happen between *1914 and 1918* is going to *upset an*
order which had continued, nearly uninterrupted, for well over 500 years

nearly all of the modern world being literally owned by and controlled by one
main family, *the hapsburgs*

after ruling all of europe for one-half of a millennium, that was all
about to change

pre-world war I = habsburg dominance
of modern western world

post world war I = habsburg power
is fatally wounded

world war II = a second attempt
at restoring habsburg dominance and power

post-world war II = habsburg power
continues to be fatally wounded

cold war

= creation of secret societies

bohemian grove

illuminati

cia

bilderbergs

trilateral commission

council on foreign relations

world economic forum

***habsburgs, and habsburg interests, seem to have been quite busy
building a new king's court***

at this point in time ***it is completed, and only awaiting*** some king
(or queen) to take the throne

or, to put it another way, *all of history, post-world war I, can be said to be revolving around the attempted restoration of the habsburg empire* (or an equivalent) by means of disguised royalism and neo-royalism, both posing as modern creations, while being no such thing, at all

all of post-world war I seems completely chaotic, if not downright anarchistic

but, that is only because you are failing to take into account the organizing principle which binds it all together

somebody, somewhere, wants their habsburg ascendancy to be restored

by any means possible

a sort of desperate last gasp, of a system which has been unceremoniously relegated to the trash heap of history, where it truly does belong, it would seem

again, these facts bringing to mind our discussion regarding the meaning of the term *“conservatism” and “conservative”*

recalling to mind, that “conservatism” means to conserve

to conserve *“something”*

but,

to conserve what, exactly ?

in this case of the historical derivation of the term, it means *to conserve the monarchy and monarchism* a la louis xvi and marie antoinette

when once firmly in power, the most singular goal becomes to remain in power, as that all too quickly and forcefully becomes an end in itself

as technology progresses, the serfs turn into a self-autonomous middle-class working people

soon enough, they will, in reality, have no need of a king

the very institution itself having become little more than an historic anachronism, no longer able to fulfill the needs and requirements of a free and educated citizenry

the monarch has to be anti-progress. and anti-innovation

for the king and his ilk, it is, in actuality, a matter of life or death

he *having become entirely irrelevant*, no matter how much he pretends to be otherwise

no longer suited for the required purposes

his day having come and gone

but like so many before him, he will continue to grasp, as the hardened usurper he truly is, until his last dying gasping breath

of that you may rest assured

he will take that which can and should advance medicine, and use it for some ungodly bioweaponry designed to kill the masses

this is because the *royal pretensions* of any given person will *start a sort of process of mental rot*

all too soon enough, the monarch becomes more and more certain of his own indispensability, when the true facts on the ground are quite to the contrary

monarchism found not just to be dispensable, but having become, in fact, ***an outright impediment to both material and spiritual progress***

the monarch hereby becomes a cause of much misery before finally being unceremoniously and usually, quite rightly, erased from the history books

here can be heard those ***last dying gasps*** of people from an entirely ***bygone age***, and a world, ***which, incidentally, violently blew itself up (wwI)***

they are

*“yesterday,
pretending to be tomorrow”*

by virtue of their latest new “costumes and props”, and little more, it would seem

the monarchical system utterly failed, as it almost inexorably turned into total war, over and over again, until the Habsburg machine overplayed its hand, one time too many, and lost all

now seen wandering the world while wondering aloud,

“why am I not king ?”

and

“why am I not queen ?”

the answer to these questions being,

because, history goes forward,
and not backwards

your time came and went, already

you are yesterday

has-been

used up

now, get over it, so we can begin moving forward,
once again

as the “*war to end all wars*” came grinding to a halt, it became clear that *all of the monarchies*, including the most ancient and established, were *nowhere to be seen or found*

the russian czar had lost all of his kingdoms,
thanks to reckless over expenditures, for his wars too numerous to count

1000 years of incredibly difficult kingdom building,
with much blood, sweat, and tears of numerous ancestors

but, while remembering that, from the “habsburg point of view”,
when looked at from the *habsburg perspective of multi-century planning*,
the kingdom is lost for only the past 100 years of their 1,000 years of history,
giving some (false) hopes for future restoration of the czardom

take note that when looked at from this perspective, it would seem that
the loss of empire for the czar is just a temporary setback, in the
larger scheme of history

that is to say, when one takes a multi-century view, things can appear far
different than they do when looked upon as multi-month or multi-year views

what seems to be a permanent and fatal catastrophic loss in one framework,
may be seen as more of a minor inconvenience or temporary setback,
when looked upon from a longer perspective

ottoman empire = 600 years of difficult and often bloody empire building having now come to a most sudden and unceremonious end; it now having been purposely broken up into numerous little “statelets”, every single one warring with their neighbors, just like in ancient sumer, where it all began

many a person having given thought to pan-arabism, but this has seemed to be utterly impossible, due to the tribal natures of the persons involved

they cannot get along and work together long enough to protect their own collective security interests, due to their mutual hatred of one another, nearly to the exclusion of all else

the german empire of wilhelm II lay in shambles, *completely destroyed from within, and from without*, in a manner not dissimilar to the USA right now, during these absurd (faux) demonstrations, and violent mob scenes I have had to witness during the past weeks/months

after already having had to leave my home and hometown, to get away from the insane county “health” department, seemingly hellbent on restoring *the Einsatzgruppen*, in the form of tag, trace, and track pretended “asymptomatic superspreaders”= can be anyone, at any time

the infamous contact tracers

hunting down pretended “asymptomatic superspreaders”

with some ridiculous, utterly unproven, and grossly inappropriate, pretended “testing”, supposing to decide my fate

exactly like flipping coins in the air, or tossing dice onto the ground

it doesn't take much to see that my county, city, state, and country have all been infected by villainous and cowardly traitors, and those, of the most crass sort

they having quietly and surreptitiously taken over the "health" departments, throughout my country, and most other modern countries

then they promulgate the dreaded (and highly unconstitutional) lockdown order

the real and intended purpose being to condition the masses to such outrageous and extraordinary behavior, whom the enemies of liberty hope, soon enough, to utterly destroy

house arrest for quarantining healthy persons

taking blood, and hence, the DNA, from just as many people as they are able to, while using software and computer systems for tracking all humans as if they are cattle (goyim), as is being already used in communist china, for purposes of organ harvesting, from members of their prison population, with dissidents being the particular favorite for "live organ harvesting"

just use your imagination

these are our wonderful, would-be, communist chinese masters, posing as brilliant and successful business people

while in reality = not so

it is just more of the same old communists of vietnam era

lie

lie

lie

propagandize, non-stop

“ two steps forward
one step back “, pretty well sums it all up

they having gotten away with pretending that they were no longer communist,
just long enough to infiltrate our country with communists for 30+ years

these communist chinese party members were invited in by treacherous persons,
while pretending to be the representatives of the people, who have taken more
than a little bit of corruption payoffs, perhaps, during their far too numerous
years of pretending to be somebody who actually cares

which brings us back to weimar germany, of all places

to the 15 year interwar period, being in reality, little more than a pause in this
greater and long term project of restoring habsburg hegemony and dominance,
or something as close thereto as possible

germany, once a great industrial power, has now been reduced to famine and extreme poverty, which gave rise to continuous discontent, which paved the way for the machinations of would-be world ruler, adolf hitler, and his national **socialist** party

the party of which it was well said that without liberal alcohol consumption there would be no nazi party

stop for just one moment and think about that, as you also remember that crime statisticians can often be heard to say that 90% of serious crimes are committed while drunk

what if I feed that criminal dark side with lots of liquor, and then unleash these foul drunken creatures on my local neighborhood to murder at will ?

drunken debauchery and violence providing the key to all which was to take place during that first rise to power and subsequent maladministration of the state and state resources, causing the complete undoing of that once great and mighty empire and nation

*as history once again repeats itself,
over, and over again*

SUMMARY

I will now tell, in a brief form, what I have just taken some time to explain, in detail

I will try to state, rather plainly, what it is that I am trying to bring to your attention

one should think of this as a response to the far too often asked (or unasked) question of *what it is, exactly, which is going on here, right now*, such that we, by the hundreds of millions, should be put on house arrest, in complete contravention to our Constitution, and many centuries old and WELL ESTABLISHED RIGHTS AS FREEMEN

as if hundreds, or even thousands of years of history, and historical development just simply vanished, as if it never even happened
(= purposeful culture destruction by the transnational criminal organization, ccp)

communist chinese history, which begins only in 1921, tries to pretend as if this bunch of thugs and gangsters are, in fact, the 4000 year old civilization which does, indeed, exist but, that in spite of them, and not because of them

they seeming to get an awful lot of mileage by pretending to be something which they truly are not

the often heard claim that this modern creation (of investment bankers, and numerous western industrialists) represents an ancient institution, is laughable, on its face

is it any wonder that they need a continuous and constant barrage of propaganda designed only to confuse and mislead ?

but, alas, just as there were wall street international financiers and proto-nazis assisting in the formation of the intended, and actual, paper tiger of russia, in 1917, so now, many of the same types, and indeed, the very same people, in many cases, pretend that their most recent paper tiger puppet enemy, chinese communist party, is some sort of super power on a par with the USA

it is a sham and a purposeful distraction

the real issues concern being slaves versus freemen,
or *monarchists and neo-monarchists*
and disguised monarchists
(new world order and
world economic forum)
versus --- constitutional republicanism

the pretended left/right,
liberal/conservative
democrat/republican
and black vs white paradigms
being, not intended as terms of definition,
but instead, intended *as terms of obfuscation*

intended to more fully obscure the real and actual lines of division,
having nothing to do with race or party lines

monarchy, from the past, competing with constitutional republicanism, which is the future, without the monarch, or the monarchy, or even any vestiges of monarchism from their hoary and continuously violent past

this, while never forgetting that the entire system of monarchism came crashing down, almost as if all at once, in a most violent of conflagrations

causing the horrific deaths of hundreds of millions of otherwise innocent men, women, and children

all for a few bucks

” never forget “, indeed !!

(“*never forget*” is a sign at the entrance to *dachau*, first concentration camp in germany, and model for the rest)

the key to understanding all that is going on about you is, believe it or not, monarchy and monarchism

but, not just any monarchy

nay, rather here, it is the way too quiet habsburgs, and the king and queen of england

the english monarchs wanting to get their colonies back, while the habsburgs, after quietly slinking off into the shadows, following their disastrous performance in world war I, want their former lands, glory, and power returned to them, also

it seems only reasonable to wonder what, exactly, ever became of them ?

my contention, or guess, here, is that they not only never left,
but are, in fact, all around you

they having sat quietly, but while busily weaving a huge web, intended to
restore the habsburgs, or some similar monarchy, to their old former glory

in order to better understand how this could possibly be the case,
one needs to take a long, hard, close look at *this system called monarchy*

one must learn to always look to distinguish, what, exactly it is that makes
any particular institution monarchical

that is, monarchism, as distinguished from republicanism, while remembering
that what has caused so much confusion, and that by design, is the use of words
which have no real, fixed meaning, such as left and right, and center, and right
of center, and left of center, all assuming a center which does not, in fact, exist,
with no one ever even realizing that such truly is the case

the reasons for this becoming more clear as we proceed further forward

what is happening right in front of our eyes, is that ***a would-be king has gone to
great trouble to build a king's court***, for one who intends, apparently, to rule
the whole world

this is done by people who build the gallows, with your assistance, and with
your tax dollars, just before rounding you up and hanging you en masse

they build their guillotines well in advance of their need for them

once again, expecting that you, as the wage slave/goyim, will pay for the construction costs, like a good dutiful taxpayer should

again, right before being disarmed and rounded up

ripe for the slaughter

this is why party line has become so loud and insistent while demanding much and giving very little

the political factions/parties are designed to make you feel like you actually have a say in what is, in fact, actually a foregone conclusion

planned many years before, by one more group of very evil would-be slave masters

they, hiding behind numerous disguises, such as

political parties and clubs

social movements

educational crusades

university endowments

lecture circuits

non-governmental organizations

foundations

charities

you name it

but, after all is said and done, one must take a good long, hard look and ask oneself

*“is this latest
social or political movement
nothing more than
one more disguise”
**pretending to free men, while, in fact,
leading them into slavish
monarchical submission ?***

so, ***what is the hallmark of monarchy and monarchism ?***

what is it that we should be on the constant alert for, if we wish to be able to readily recognize monarchical thinking and pretensions, even when it comes in one of its many, numerous, neo-royalist disguises ?

concentrated power

centralized power

with a person, or group of persons, claiming to hold authority, whether material, or spiritual, or psychological, over their fellows

and finally, demanding, by use of force, or threatened use of force, that *“you will obey”*

take a look around you, at the “new normal”

all medical authority (pretended) being held only in the hands of a few would-be authorities running around and telling everyone what they can and cannot do, and what they should and should not do, or, even to think

spewing numerous opinions from their very own little group, while loudly demanding that, what are truly only their own opinions, have now risen to the level of incontrovertible scientific truth

sounds like a monarchical top/down system is in play here, yes ?

along with pseudoscience diploma mills having taken the place of actual academic inquiry and critical reasoning ?

*communist chinese
iranian islamo-fascists
and the new world order
by means of the world economic forum
(the davos crowd)*

“one world would-be dictators” demanding that you do as told or face very severe consequences

” mommy knows best ” nanny state writ large

oh, but did I forget?

paternalistic demands, combined with mommyism aka maternalism, with a large dash of munchausen by proxy syndrome (pretending someone is sick, when they are not, and then treating them, accordingly)

yes, the monarch or monarchical substitute can easily be spotted by
it's *non-stop mommyism*

ask yourself, how could a small group of seriously compromised, crooked
politicians, corrupt as the day is long, possibly know more about your needs
than you do ?

to ask it is to answer it

yet, that is *another hallmark of monarchical thinking i.e. do not think for
yourself*, if you want the benefits of belonging to the group/club
or should I say “le circle” ?

centralized concentrated power

centralized pretended authority

technocracy = know-it-all dweebs, run amuck

and *demands*, *first* made with attempted *persuasion*, and *then made with use
of force*, or by threatened use of force

all *arguments are simply not to be made*, and the ones trying to warn will be an
object of ridicule and scorn and continuous *shaming*

*sounds an awful lot like the covid 19/black lives matter/antifa circus
going on right before my eyes as I write this*

a resounding yes ?

it being so patently and obviously monarchical in nature, and by design, as to be obvious to all but the most dull witted, or thoroughly brainwashed person or persons

a sad, sad, sad state of affairs, indeed

this being *the pretty “new” face of neo-fascism (corporatism) and neo-colonialism a la 17th century mercantilism*

see the dutch east indies trading company aka “*the VOC*”

21st century meets 17th century

finally, if one is to use one’s critical thinking to analyze any given political or politico-religious set of problems, one must first ask oneself the question, *which of the two main modern forms of government am I looking at ?*

*monarchical
or constitutional republican ?*

while analyzing, one is forced, modernly, to give consideration to any number of competing systems

all of which claim to be something new, or something different, from all which has come before

likely the claims of nearly every utopian system, each with its starry-eyed adherents and missionaries

because there are *so many competing forms* of *neo-royalism*, and *disguised neo-royalism*, it is essential to take a “long” view of the matter, to determine whether it bears the numerous *hallmarks of monarchs*, monarchists, and monarchies, in general

first, and above all else,
concentration of power

and *consolidation of power*

if one expects to have one man rule,
then one *will need a way to force all persons to comply*
with their royal demands, wishes, and decrees

whether on a whim, or not, I should add

and also, whether ill-advised, or not, I should further add

thus is *built right into the very fabric* of monarchism,
a self-destruct mechanism

the unwise monarch *will become a victim of his own excesses*,
shortsightedness, or *pursuit of his or her baser material instincts*

one’s own *arrogance* becoming the cause of one’s own downfall; a certain fall from grace; the pride which goes before the fall; the *hubris* which precedes *the erinyes* aka “*the three fates*”

those who continually desire to concentrate power are acting in interests far different from those of their fellow citizens in this great republic, originally known as “***THE GREAT AMERICAN EXPERIMENT***”

the founding fathers recognized that many men can have, and will have, allowed themselves to become evil

it happens in many different ways, to many different persons

when such people get involved with the public treasury, their baser instincts can (will ?) quickly gain the upper hand

the same problem occurs with far too much frequency, in the case of legislatures

once the considerations of a few have taken precedence over those of the many, how can it be said to be a representative government, with any degree of seriousness ?

it is not, pure and simple as all that

I have recommended to contrast monarchical systems with constitutional republicanism

in the constitutional system, as envisioned by the framers of the U.S. Constitution, due to the problem of the likelihood of widespread self-dealing and looting of the treasury, the solution was to do the exact opposite of what the monarchists are so determined to do

that is, instead of consolidating power and institutions, the most highly recommended course of action was, and is, to *break up all of that power*

to break it up into as many small pieces as would be required or necessary to effect those matters listed in the enumerated powers clause of the U.S. Constitution

if one is truly to get back to basics, then one will want to be sure to examine the different powers which are listed

for example, providing for the common defense, and the postal system

the reason there is such a list, written right into the U.S. Constitution, is because the drafters of this document wanted to emphasize that the matters enumerated by means of said list were the main reasons why a federal constitution was being created, and, what it was, exactly which was envisioned with agreement by all parties to the document at the time of its ratification

originally, it was expected that the federal government would concern itself, primarily, with those matters which are listed, and predominantly to those matters alone

legislating morality is conspicuously absent from the list, and most certainly would not qualify to be on the list, as the founding fathers envisioned it

many other things which are done day to day, in the pretended “new normal” also would not qualify to be on that list, and never can

this *so-called government*, being little more, in actuality, than a *huge system of patron and retainers, and with all of that at the taxpayers expense, stolen from the public fisc/treasury*, with little or no care, or regard for, the interests of the taxpayer, who is, after all, paying the tab for all of this from his or her hard labor, blood, sweat, and tears

a very strange state of affairs, indeed, and, all the more so, given the fact that this result is supposed to have been produced by a representative assembly

that is to say, *I am supposed to have voted to have this done to myself*

can you repeat after me, cognitive dissonance ?

yes, for here is a great example of just such a thing

what is asserted on a daily basis i.e. that we live in a representative democracy, can honestly be said to be *farcical, given actual product of these supposed free and fair elections*

nobody, but nobody is going to vote to tax themselves out of existence, if they understand and know that this is what they are being asked to do

and this brings our next hallmark of monarchism right up front and center

deceit

lots of deceit

one could even say, without the slightest exaggeration, that they spew a nearly continuous barrage of lies, all scrupulously designed to deceive and to confuse

one could say, also, without exaggeration, that they are, clinically speaking, *pathological liars*

they can ALWAYS lie, and get away with it, because they have a *MAJORITARIAN SYSTEM*, instead of proportional representation, such that they use the claim of necessity, causing them to have to lie to somebody, in order to barely scrape up that 51% of the vote, while continuing to lie ever more freely and freely, over time (modernly, for matters of pretended national security, commonly)

this is what makes puppet enemies necessary and indispensable,
where these persons are concerned

gotta have a puppet enemy,
in order to have matters of national security,
in the first place

majoritarianism means that whoever wins ***51% of the vote
will take ALL OF THE POWER which was the subject
of said vote***

*once election night is over, they quite simply do not care
about the horrific consequences they are causing*

while all too conveniently forgetting that the ***political office holder
is in a fiduciary relationship*** when it comes to the public treasury

no self-dealing is allowed
no embezzlement
or theft of public money
can take place if and when one actually takes his or her
fiduciary responsibilities with any degree of seriousness

yet, in modern public life, ***what once were known as public servants,
have now become rapacious oppressors,*** demanding ever more and more
money and resources for themselves and their numerous retainers

a never ending, and quite insatiable demand, I should say

***oh, how quickly the slave thinks he has become the master,
and begins to act accordingly***

once again bringing to mind the old adage that *republican forms of government are only able to last until the citizens realize that they can vote the public treasury to themselves*

does that sound familiar?

it should

beginning in the *1960s* modern americans were taught that *big government is good*

can do great things

they pretended to be concerned with the plight of the black man

*a really disgusting version of the “white man’s burden”,
if ever there was*

*blacks, just like poor working whites, and all other skin colors, and races,
so far as that goes, got badly disenfranchised from the modern world of
material prosperity*

*both, by exactly the same persons, who have ever since, continued to play
the two victims off against one another, causing each to view the other
as a perpetrator, instead of as the victim each truly is, both being victims of
the exact same perpetrators = “divide and conquer” at it’s finest*

divide et impera

all having now been introduced to the concept of being a *wage slave* ---
“the great leveler”

in our case, we being told that *we are the luckiest and the most free of all of the wage slaves*

having turned the entire country into the mythical state of california, as documented in *john steinbeck's Grapes of Wrath*, where california was used as a huge magnet for all laborers throughout the country, just in order to give the actual job to the most desperate person, willing to take the lowest wage possible

often far less than any reasonable persons would consider to be “a fair day’s wages for a fair day’s labor”

that model, which became of such notoriety in steinbeck’s novel, has now become the preferred model, for essentially the *same scamming* of wage slaves and common laborers, but, *now, on an international scale*

california was used as some pretended wonderful place where there was work to be had, when, in fact, it was way more a scam to get a huge and very hungry and desperate labor pool to use in order to have the laborers bid against their fellow laborers

each laborer, according to his level of desperation, being willing to work for, what are in reality, little more than scraps falling off from the tables of these institutionalized international looters

due to all of this constant thieving it is necessary to have a continuous series of statements telling how, what is plainly so, is, in fact, not so;

maybe the king is not so great, after all

now, *the main point* of all of this short dissertation is to examine any given system, by whatever name it may choose to go by, in order to determine what it is that you are actually looking at

while much is made of slight differences between different totalitarian systems, when one stands back and looks again with discernment, it can be shown and demonstrated, that *all totalitarian governments are but children of the monarchical system*

communist russia = monarchy without the monarch

communist china = monarchy without the monarch

hitlerism = monarchy without the monarch

mussolinism (corporatism/fascism)

= just one more monarchy without the monarch

socialism

and *one world socialism*

i. e. *one world communism*

= monarchy without the monarch

yes, *all of these totalitarian/authoritarian regimes are noticeably far more like one another, than they are, different from one another*

all are forms of *neo-royalism* and *disguised neo-royalism*

the *centralization of power* being a dead giveaway in each and every one of these systems

each has a *huge bureaucratic/administrative apparatus*

each has *pretended counselors and experts* galore

each has so-called *education systems* helping to uphold the support of the regime by indoctrinating children and young people with propaganda

yes, half-baked monarchism

one of the great hoaxes of our age !!

